



## Nutritional status of gestational diabetes of resident's women in eastern province of Saudi Arabia

Mai Abd-Alkhalik Gharib<sup>1</sup>, Omar Ibrahim Abu Zaid<sup>2</sup>, Norah Hassan Al-Qahtani<sup>3</sup>, Nourah Al-Shammari<sup>4</sup>,  
Fatemh Al-Jassem<sup>5</sup>, Meaad Al-Khalifa<sup>6</sup>, Zahra Al-Yousef<sup>7</sup>

<sup>1-2, 4-7</sup> Department of Clinical Nutrition, Collage of Applied Medical Sciences, Imam Abdulrahman Bin Faisal University, Dammam, Saudi Arabia

<sup>3</sup> FRCOG, College of Medicine, King Fahad University Hospital, Imam Abdulrahman Bin Faisal University, Al-Khobar, Saudi Arabia

### Abstract

**Background:** Recently, gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) has become a growing health concern among Saudi pregnant women. The prevalence of GDM in KSA reaches 12.5 to 18.7% in 2013.

**Purpose:** This study attempts to find out the nutritional status of GDM resident's women in the eastern province in Saudi Arabia.

**Methods:** A cross-sectional study was conducted on pregnant women. Total number (101) of participants were divided into two groups, the control group (CG) was included 49 normal pregnant women, and the experimental group includes 52 gestational diabetes women as gestational diabetes group (GDG). The inclusion criteria were residents' women in Eastern Province in Saudi Arabia, adult, and 2<sup>nd</sup> to 3<sup>rd</sup> trimester of pregnancy. The exclusion criteria were women who smoke, have chronic disease or other metabolic disorders, chronic type II diabetes, or taking any medications. Anthropometric measurements were taken. Food consumption pattern, food habits, nutritional intake were collected and analyzed for evaluation of the dietary intake of nutrients.

**Results:** The results showed the increment of the maternal age, also the initial weight before pregnancy and BMI were higher in GDG than CG. Furthermore, the carbohydrate intake among GDG was increased than RDA by 27.96%, while CG have approximately ideal or recommended intake. The pattern intake from animal and vegetarian source of CG may be healthier than GDG. The daily protein intake was over the recommended intake for both groups. Total iron intake 27.33±3.73 mg/day had been approximately equal to the RDA for GDG, while CG deficit by -4.45±16.39. On the other hand, calcium intake (805.86±176.23 mg/day) was decreased aggressiveness by -9.41±1.62 when compared to AI. Dietary fiber intake may be equal for both groups.

**Keywords:** gestational, diabetes, dietary intake, BMI, Saudi

### Introduction

Gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM); a form of type II diabetes mellitus, is defined as any degree of hyperglycaemia or glucose intolerance with first recognition during pregnancy" (Prutsky *et al.*, 2013) [21]. The prevalence of GDM had increased incidence that ranging from 12.5 to 18.7% as reviewed by AL Serehi *et al.*, (2015) [2]. Consequently, the prevalence of GDM is also increased among Saudi women, this increment leads to negative consequences on the maternal and neonatal health (Metzger *et al.*, 2008) [17]. The major reasons that responsible for the high percentage of GDM in Saudi women are obese and the women who continue being pregnant even at an elderly stage (Eman *et al.*, 2015) [9]. The adverse effect resulting from GDM was macrosomia, neonatal hypoglycemia, pre-eclampsia, and cesarean section during labor and preterm delivery (Asemi *et al.*, 2014) [4]. Several cross-sectional and retrospective studies have shown that the consumption of macronutrient constituents of the diet during pregnancy may predict development of GDM (Zhang and Ning, 2011) [33]. The recent findings of Looman *et al.*, (2018) [14] confirmed that a low carbohydrate and high fat and protein intake may increase the risk of GDM, whereas higher fiber intake could decrease the risk of GDM. Also Mercier *et al.*, (2018) [16] stated that higher intake of fruits and vegetables

may be associated with a lower likelihood of abnormal glucose tolerance (AGT) among women with prior GDM (Mercier *et al.*, 2018) [16]. Thus, the dietary counselling is the main strategy in managing GDM (Han *et al.*, 2013) [11]. Moreover, NHS-II trial, which analyze the dietary patterns and their association with the risk of GDM development, found a significant relative risk (RR) for GDM development with the increased intake of the western diet and the decreased intake of prudent diet (Zhang *et al.*, 2006) [34]. Therefore, the present study aimed to identify the nutritional status of GDM residents' women in the Eastern province in Saudi Arabia.

### Subjects and Methods

A cross-sectional study was conducted among gestational diabetes participants aged from 20 to 45 years. GDM cases were recruited after they diagnose under the supervision of consultant in the Obstetrics and Gynecology Clinic of King Fahad University Hospital, Imam Abdulrahman Bin Faisal University, Al-Qatif Central Hospital, Al-Mowasat Hospital, Al-Manaa Hospital, Maternal and Childbirth Hospital and Medical Family Clinic, Eastern Province, KSA, started from January to April 2017. The inclusion criteria were resident in Eastern Province in Saudi Arabia, adult women in 2<sup>nd</sup> to 3<sup>rd</sup> trimester of pregnancy. The exclusion criteria were: smoking,

having a chronic disease or other metabolic disorders, chronic diabetes mellitus, and if taking any medications.

### Experimental design

The study was conducted on 101 participants. 49 normal pregnant women were included as a control group, and 52 GDM women were case group. The following variables at the first clinic visit were systematically recorded: weight in the initially of pregnancy and current weight, height; and BMI was calculated [BMI = body weight (kg)/ height (m<sup>2</sup>)] (WHO, 2016) [30].

### Questionnaire

A validated and reliable questionnaire was designed for collecting data related to socioeconomic status, health history, food habits, and nutritional intake). The dietary intake was evaluated by 24-hour recall food questionnaire which was conducted for three times (two weekdays and a weekend day), which was filled for each participant. Nutritive values of the consumed foods were evaluated by using Saudi composition table at computerized software Nutritionist Program (Musaiger, 2006) [19].

### Ethical consideration

All participants were provided with formal consent information about the procedure of the study, and their rights and duties.

### Statistical Analysis

The collected data was statistically analyzed to find out the frequency distribution of non-parametric variables, also mean value, standard deviations (SD) for parametric variables. Significant differences (P-value) by (independent sample test), and Chi<sup>2</sup> test for verifying differences between studied groups were set by using Statistical Package of Social Science program (SPSS version 23). The significance levels were tested at  $p < 0.05$ . All obtained results were tabulated to obtain the results (SPSS, 2015) [23].

### Results and Discussion

The prevalence of GDM and pre-GDM in the Saudi pregnant population is among the highest in the world. The conditions are associated with high maternal and neonatal morbidities and mortalities (Wahabi *et al.*, 2017) [27]. Therefore, the present study was designed to analyze the nutritional status of Saudi Eastern women infected with gestational diabetes mellitus.

The results of the current study showed the increment of the maternal age among Saudi women, as illustrated in table (1). The trimester of pregnancy differs significantly (P-value  $< 0.05$ ) between both groups, and most of the participants in both groups were in the third trimester when compared to the percent of the second trimester. The education level differs significantly (P-value  $< 0.05$ ), while most of the participants in both groups had a universal education. Regarding to smoking, there are 55.8% of gestational diabetic group exposure to negative smoking compared to 38% of the control group while did not differ significantly. The family income, occupation, and living state did not differ significantly. Also, the age, or the first pregnancy' age and the duration between pregnancies were not statistically differ significantly. Moreover, the Saudi

study of Wahabi *et al.*, (2013) [29] recognized some risk factors for the development of GDM, including an increase in maternal age and obesity. ADA proved that major risk factors for GDM include older age in pregnancy and a family history of diabetes (ADA, 2004) [3]. Another study of Zhu *et al.*, (2013) [38] showed that both age and week of pregnancy have independent associations with fasting blood glucose (FPG) as mentioned by Tobias *et al.*, (2012) [6]. Furthermore, the study of Marchi *et al.*, (2015) [15] ascertains that obesity is associated with the development of type II diabetes mellitus and GDM due to the increased peripheral resistance to insulin, and it is a risk factor for many maternal adverse outcomes, including increased Cesarean section delivery, hypertensive disorders in pregnancy, macrosomia, and perinatal mortality.

The table (2) clarified information related to the history of pregnancy. As shown in the table below, the three times of being pregnant are alike among the two groups. The GDG had a high rate of infecting with gestational diabetes during the previous pregnancy, which is statistically significant than CG. In addition, a family history of diabetes was more prevalent among GDG by 82.7% vs. 60% of CG.

The anthropometric indices from table (3) showed that the initial weight before pregnancy and BMI were higher in GDG than CG but did not differ significantly. At the same trend, the actual weight has slight increase in GDG than CG, and means value were  $72.16 \pm 14.71$  and  $74.12 \pm 12.42$ , for CG and GDG respectively. These findings are consistent with previous studies from Saudi Arabia and other parts of the world of diabetes in pregnant subjects (Wahabi *et al.*, 2014) [26]. Similarly, the findings of Catalano and Ehrenberg, (2006) [8] illustrate that obese women have much more insulin resistant as compared with normal weight women; hence increased insulin resistance may be relevant to the development of preeclampsia in obese women and women developing GDM.

The study of Metzger *et al.*, (2007) [18] stated that the association between dietary patterns and GDM risk appears to be mediated by BMI. Also, Zhang and Ning, (2011) [33] confirmed that dietary components include macronutrients and micronutrients were associated with GDM risk, in parallel the study of Saldana *et al.*, (2004) [22], they mentioned that macronutrient components of the diet in mid-pregnancy may predict incidence or recurrence of GDM. Regarding table 4, estimated energy requirements (EER) and macronutrient intake were differed significantly between pregnant subject and gestational diabetic cases. Total energy intake was deficit by -48.21 in CG than -26.89% in GDG when compared with EER. In contrast, the carbohydrate intake was increased than RDA (175 g/day) by 27.96%, while CG have approximately ideal or recommended intake. Similarly, in the Nurses' Health Study II of Bowers *et al.*, (2012) [6], they stated that replacement of 5% of energy from carbohydrates with total fat slightly increased the risk of GDM. On the other hand, a low-carbohydrate dietary pattern tends to have a relatively higher intake of fat and protein to compensate energy requirements, as found in the present study that the major capacity of energy was dense from fat sources, while the pattern intake from animal and vegetarian source of CG may be healthier than GDG.

The major capacity of energy was dense from fat sources, while the pattern intake from animal and vegetarian source of

CG may be healthier than GDG, as 2/3 of fat intake from plant sources by 59.16±10.36 g/day compared to approximately equal portions for the animal and vegan sources for GDG, the mean values were 57.33±12.44 and 45.90±7.66, respectively. At the same time, the findings of Saldana *et al.*, (2004) [22] stated that total fat intake was significantly higher among women with than among those without GDM. Moreover, a recent prospective study that considered the correlation of nutrients showed that higher intake of fat and lower intake of carbohydrates may be associated with increased risk of GDM and impaired glucose tolerance as mentioned by Saldana *et al.*, (2004) [22]. Also, Bowers *et al.*, (2012) [6] illustrated that higher intakes of animal fat and animal protein were associated with increased risk of GDM, whereas higher intake of vegetable protein was associated with lower risk. Theoretically, the findings of Bao *et al.*, (2013) [5] proved that long-term adherence to low-carbohydrate dietary patterns, particularly those that are mainly based on animal foods, may have detrimental effects on the GDM risk because they result in an increase in animal fat intakes and a decrease in the consumption of whole grains, dietary fiber, fruits, and vegetables.

For dietary protein, the daily intake was over the recommended intake for both groups, the mean values were 111.67±22.68 and 131.06±24.27 g/day compared to RDA that 71 g/day. Furthermore, Brandsch *et al.*, (2006) [7] investigated that an animal protein compared with a vegetable protein rich meal cause higher plasma concentrations of branched chain amino acids, which have been positively linked to the development of insulin resistance and incident diabetes. Furthermore, heme iron have been associated with β-cell damage, oxidative stress, and insulin resistance as well as incident GDM (Zhang *et al.*, 2006) [34].

Dietary fiber intake may be equal in both groups (13.91±2.81 and 13.39±10.92) for CG and GDG respectively, however, it was decreased drastically than adequate intake for pregnancy (28 g/day). At the same line, Zhang *et al.*, (2006) [34] concluded that pregravid consumption of dietary fiber was

significantly and inversely associated with GDM risk. Moreover, Zhang, (2010) [35] confirmed that the combination of high glycemic load and a low fiber diet was associated with a 2.15-fold increased risk of GDM compared with the reciprocal diet.

The micronutrient intake for pregnant women's was indicated in table (5). The findings showed no significant difference in terms of dietary intakes of vitamin C, thiamin, and riboflavin between both groups, moreover their consumption may meet the RDA of pregnancy. In addition, a prospective study of pregnant women showed that lower maternal level of ascorbic acid was found to be associated with a 3.1-times increased risk for GDM compared with higher intakes (Zhang *et al.*, 2004) [35] and vitamin D concentrations in early pregnancy were significantly associated with increased GDM risk (Zhang *et al.*, 2008) [37]. Also, Hamer and Chida, (2007) [10] reported that both plasma ascorbic acid concentrations and dietary vitamin C intake were inversely associated with GDM risk, hence, vitamin C might prevent metabolic deterioration by opposing free radicals and improving systemic oxidative stress.

As well, total iron intake had been approximately equal to the RDA for GDG at 27.33±3.73 mg/day, while CG had lower intake 25.79±4.42 and deficit by -4.45±16.39, although there is no significant differ between groups regarding iron and phosphorus intake. On the other hand, calcium intake (805.86±176.23 mg/day) was decreased aggressively by -9.41±1.62 when compared to AI, while CG differs significantly at (P-value < 0.001) and had the recommended intake (1019±32.56 mg/day). Furthermore, the studied groups had the RDA of phosphorus intake that did not differ significantly between them. These findings state the hypothesis of Osorio-Yáñez *et al.*, (2017) [20] who proved that higher dietary Ca intake was inversely associated with GDM risk. Moreover, the study of Krige *et al.*, (2018) [13] stated that the dietary intake of these women was not optimal, and the same findings of Joseph *et al.*, (2018) [12] indicated a deficit in the intake of, iron, calcium, thiamine, and riboflavin among GDM women.

**Table 1:** Characteristic data of participants among both control and gestational diabetes groups

| Variables                                     |                    | CG |    | GDG |      | P-Value | Sig.  |
|---|--------------------|----|----|-----|------|---------|-------|
|   |                    | No | %  | No  | %    |         |       |
| Trimester                                     | Second trimester   | 10 | 20 | 23  | 44.2 | 8.33    | 0.04* |
|   | Third trimester    | 39 | 78 | 29  | 55.8 |         |       |
| Presence of smoker at home "Negative Smoking" | Yes                | 19 | 38 | 29  | 55.8 | 3.97    | 0.13  |
|   | No                 | 30 | 60 | 23  | 44.2 |         |       |
| Education level                               | Illiteracy         | 1  | 2  | 1   | 1.9  | 12.29   | 0.03* |
|   | Primary School     | 2  | 4  | 2   | 3.8  |         |       |
|   | Preparatory School | 0  | 0  | 7   | 13.5 |         |       |
|   | Secondary School   | 11 | 22 | 16  | 30.8 |         |       |
|   | University         | 36 | 72 | 24  | 46.2 |         |       |
| Occupation                                    | Post graduate      | 0  | 0  | 2   | 3.8  | 2.05    | 0.56  |
|   | House wife         | 32 | 64 | 34  | 65.4 |         |       |
|   | Retired            | 0  | 0  | 1   | 1.9  |         |       |
|   | Employee           | 15 | 30 | 16  | 30.8 |         |       |
| Living state                                  | Other              | 3  | 6  | 1   | 1.9  | 0.90    | 0.82  |
|   | Rented house       | 6  | 12 | 6   | 11.5 |         |       |
|   | Rent apartment     | 22 | 44 | 27  | 51.9 |         |       |
|   | Owned house        | 12 | 24 | 9   | 17.3 |         |       |
| Kinship of Parents                            | Owned apartment    | 10 | 20 | 10  | 19.2 | 1.76    | 0.41  |
|   | Yes                | 23 | 46 | 19  | 36.5 |         |       |

|               |                  |    |    |    |      |      |      |
|---------------|------------------|----|----|----|------|------|------|
|               | No               | 27 | 54 | 3  | 61.5 |      |      |
| Family Income | Less than 5.000  | 9  | 18 | 9  | 17.3 | 1.98 | 0.57 |
|               | 5.000 to 10.000  | 26 | 52 | 33 | 63.5 |      |      |
|               | 10.000 to 15.000 | 13 | 26 | 8  | 15.4 |      |      |
|               | More than 15.000 | 2  | 4  | 2  | 3.8  |      |      |

CG= Control group; GDG= Gestational diabetes group; %: percentage; Sig: significance. \*= (Value ≤ 0.05); \*\*= (Value ≤ 0.01); \*\*\*= (Value ≤ 0.001).

**Table 2:** Baseline pregnancy history data among participants in Control and Gestational Diabetes Groups.

| History of pregnancy                           |                        |    |    |     |      |         |       |
|--|------------------------|----|----|-----|------|---------|-------|
| Variables                                      |                        | CG |    | GDG |      | P-value | Sig.  |
|  |                        | No | %  | No  | %    |         |       |
| First pregnancy                                | Yes                    | 14 | 28 | 10  | 19.2 | 3.10    | 0.376 |
|  | No                     | 35 | 70 | 41  | 78.8 |         |       |
| Time becomes pregnant                          | Second                 | 7  | 14 | 10  | 19.2 | 2.96    | 0.39  |
|  | Third                  | 9  | 18 | 14  | 26.9 |         |       |
|  | More than 3            | 18 | 36 | 18  | 34.6 |         |       |
| Complication during the previous pregnancy     | Yes                    | 7  | 14 | 9   | 17.3 | 2.19    | 0.33  |
|  | No                     | 27 | 54 | 33  | 63.5 |         |       |
| Gestational diabetes during previous pregnancy | Yes                    | 2  | 4  | 10  | 19.2 | 5.72    | 0.05* |
|  | No                     | 37 | 74 | 33  | 63.5 |         |       |
| History of obesity in the family               | Yes                    | 9  | 18 | 10  | 19.2 | 0.25    | 0.87  |
|  | No                     | 41 | 82 | 42  | 80.8 |         |       |
| History of diabetes in the family              | Yes                    | 30 | 60 | 43  | 82.7 | 6.45    | 0.01* |
|  | No                     | 20 | 40 | 9   | 17.3 |         |       |
| History of chronic disease in the family       | Yes                    | 29 | 58 | 39  | 75   | 3.31    | 0.06  |
|  | No                     | 21 | 42 | 13  | 25   |         |       |
| feeding the baby                               | Natural                | 5  | 10 | 12  | 23.1 | 5.37    | 0.14  |
|  | Artificial             | 6  | 12 | 7   | 13.5 |         |       |
|  | Natural and artificial | 20 | 40 | 23  | 44.2 |         |       |

CG= Control group; GDG= Gestational diabetes group; %: percentage; Sig: significance. \*= (Value ≤ 0.05); \*\*= (Value ≤ 0.01); \*\*\*= (Value ≤ 0.001).

**Table 3:** Anthropometric measurements of pregnancy and gestational diabetes participants

| Variables                  | CG Mean±(SD)  | GDG Mean±(SD) | P-value | Sig.  |
|----------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------|-------|
| Age                        | 30.14 (6.03)  | 32.17 (5.77)  | 1.72    | 0.087 |
| First pregnancy age        | 24.92 (5.06)  | 23.96 (7.39)  | -0.76   | 0.448 |
| Duration between pregnancy | 2.03 (1.77)   | 2.48 (1.61)   | 1.36    | 0.176 |
| Pre-pregnancy weight       | 62.92 (13.47) | 65.42 (11.91) | 0.99    | 0.32  |
| Actual weight              | 72.16 (14.71) | 74.12 (12.42) | 0.75    | 0.46  |
| Height                     | 157.46 (6.54) | 160.73 (9.63) | 1.99    | 0.04* |
| BMI                        | 25.5 (6.01)   | 25.48 (5.09)  | 0.05    | 0.96  |

CG: control group; GDG: gestational diabetes group; BMI: body mass index; Sig: significance. \*= (P-Value ≤ 0.05); \*\*= (P-Value ≤ 0.01); \*\*\*= (P-Value ≤ 0.001)

**Table 4:** Macronutrients intake of pregnant and gestational diabetes participants

| Variables              | CG Mean ±(SD)   | GDG Mean ±(SD)  | Sig.  |
|------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------|
| Energy (Kcal/day)      | 1450.43 (79.87) | 2047.22 (42.26) | 0.000 |
| Lower % EER            | - 48.21(5.48)   | -26.89 (1.87)   | 0.001 |
| Carbohydrate (g/day)   | 172.74(40.08)   | 233.94 (40.20)  | 0.000 |
| %RDA (175g/d)          | -1.71(0.45)     | 27.96 (4.08)    | 0.021 |
| Fiber (g/day)          | 13.91 (2.81)    | 13.39 (10.92)   | 0.768 |
| Lower %AI (28g/d)      | -53.57(7.57)    | -52.18 (8.99)   | 0.150 |
| Animal fat (g/day)     | 28.13 (6.21)    | 57.33 (12.44)   | 0.000 |
| Plant fat (g/day)      | 59.16 (10.36)   | 45.90 (7.66)    | 0.000 |
| Total fat (g/day)      | 82.05 (11.30)   | 142.73 (22.03)  | 0.000 |
| Animal protein (g/day) | 49.91 (14.63)   | 55.60 (14.38)   | 0.066 |
| Vegan protein (g/day)  | 40.63 (6.93)    | 39.84 (7.18)    | 0.592 |
| Total protein (g/day)  | 111.67 (22.68)  | 131.06 (24.27)  | 0.000 |
| Higher %RDA (71g/d)    | 57.28 (10.95)   | 84.60 (14.18)   | 0.000 |

EER: Estimated energy requirements; RDA: Recommended dietary allowances; AI: Adequate intake; BMI: body mass index; CG: control group; GDG: gestational diabetes group; Sig: significance. \*= (P-Value ≤ 0.05); \*\*= (P-Value ≤ 0.01); \*\*\*= (P-Value ≤ 0.001).

**Table 5:** Micronutrient intake of pregnant and gestational diabetes participants.

|                     | CG             | GDG            | Sig.  |
|---------------------|----------------|----------------|-------|
| Vitamin C (mg/day)  | 85.87 (11.65)  | 88.62 (10.65)  | 74.97 |
| %RDA (85 mg/d)      | 1.02 (0.53)    | 4.25 (0.36)    | 74.97 |
| Riboflavin (mg/day) | 1.43 (0.28)    | 1.53 (0.30)    | 0.10  |
| %RDA (1.4 mg/d)     | 2.14 (0.69)    | 9.28 (1.96)    | 0.09  |
| Thiamine (mg/day)   | 1.65 (0.96)    | 1.56 (0.03)    | 0.68  |
| %RDA (1.4 mg/d)     | 17.85 (4.38)   | 11.42 (3.79)   | 0.75  |
| Total iron (mg/day) | 25.79 (4.42)   | 27.33 (3.73)   | 0.07  |
| %RDA (27mg/d)       | -4.45 (0.39)   | 1.22 (0.81)    | 0.07  |
| Phosphorus (mg/day) | 970.49 (39.03) | 791.64 (12.59) | 0.27  |
| %RDA (700 mg/d)     | 38.64 (1.43)   | 13.09 (1.51)   | 0.27  |
| Calcium (mg/day)    | 1019 (32.56)   | 805.86 (22.23) | 0.000 |
| %AI (1000mg/d)      | 1.91 (0.25)    | -19.41 (1.62)  | 0.000 |

### Références

- Ahmed F, Tseng M. Diet and nutritional status during pregnancy. *Public Health Nutrition*. 2013; 16(08):1337-1339.
- AL Serehi A, Ahmed AM, Shakeel F, ALkhatani K, ELbakri NK, Buhari BA, *et al*. A comparison on the prevalence and outcomes of gestational versus type 2 diabetes mellitus in 1718 Saudi pregnancies. *International journal of clinical and experimental medicine*. 2015; 8:11502.
- American Diabetes Association ADA. Gestational diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Care*. 2004; 27(suppl 1):S88-90.
- Asemi Z, Karamali M, Esmailzadeh A. Effects of calcium-vitamin D co-supplementation on glycaemic control, inflammation and oxidative stress in gestational diabetes: a randomised placebo-controlled trial. *Diabetologia*. 2014; 57(9):1798-1806.
- Bao W, Bowers K, Tobias DK, Hu FB, Zhang C. Prepregnancy dietary protein intake, major dietary protein sources, and the risk of gestational diabetes mellitus: a prospective cohort study. *Diabetes Care*. 2013; 36:2001-2008.
- Bowers K, Tobias DK, Yeung E, Hu FB, Zhang C. A prospective study of prepregnancy dietary fat intake and risk of gestational diabetes. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 2012; 95:446-453.
- Brandsch C, Shukla A, Hirche F, Stangl GI, Eder K. Effect of proteins from beef, pork, and turkey meat on plasma and liver lipids of rats compared with casein and soy protein. *Nutrition*. 2006; 22:1162-1170.
- Catalano PM, Ehrenberg HM. The short and long-term implications of maternal obesity on the mother and her offspring. *BJOG*. 2006; 113:1126-1133.
- Eman M, Eman N, Taghreed H, Nazneen S, Mansuri D, Magda H, *et al*. Gestational diabetes among Saudi women: prevalence, risk factors and pregnancy Outcomes. *Annals of Saudi Medicine*. 2015; 35(3):222-230.
- Hamer M, Chida Y. Intake of fruit, vegetables, and antioxidants and risk of type 2 diabetes: systematic review and meta-analysis. *J. Hypertens*. 2007; 25:2361-2369.
- Han S, Crowther CA, Middleton P, Heatley E. Different types of dietary advice for women with gestational diabetes mellitus. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2013; 28(3):CD009275.
- Joseph M, Das-Gupta R, Shetty S, Ramachandran R, Antony G, Mathews J. *et al*. How Adequate are Macro- and Micronutrient Intake in Pregnant Women with Diabetes Mellitus? A Study from South India. *J. Obstet Gynaecol India*. 2018; 68(5):400-407.
- Krige SM, Booley S, Levitt NS, Chivese T, Murphy K, Harbron J. Dietary Intake and Beliefs of Pregnant Women with Gestational Diabetes in Cape Town, South Africa. *Nutrients*. 2018; 10(9):E1183.
- Looman M, Schoenaker DAJM, Soedamah-Muthu SS, Geelen A, Feskens EJM, Mishra GD. Pre-pregnancy dietary carbohydrate quantity and quality, and risk of developing gestational diabetes: the Australian Longitudinal Study on Women's Health. *Br J Nutr*. 2018; 22:1-10.
- Marchi J, Berg M, Dencker A, Olander EK, Begley C. Risks associated with obesity in pregnancy, for the mother and baby: a systematic review of reviews," *Obesity Reviews*. 2015; 16(8):621-638.
- Mercier R, Perron J, Weisnagel SJ, Robitaille J. Associations between fruit and vegetables intake and abnormal glucose tolerance among women with prior gestational diabetes mellitus. *Eur J Nutr*, 2018, 22. doi: 10.1007/s00394-018-1669-2.
- Metzger B, Lowe L, Dyer A, Trimble E, Chaovarinder U, Coustan D. Hyperglycemia and adverse pregnancy outcomes. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2008; 358(19):1991-2002.
- Metzger BE, Buchanan TA, Coustan DR, de Leiva A, Dungan DB, Hadden DR, *et al*. Summary and recommendations of the Fifth International Workshop-Conference on Gestational Diabetes Mellitus. *Diabetes Care*. 2007; 30(2):S251-60.
- Musaiger AO. Food Composition Tables for the Arab Gulf Countries. Arab Center for Nutrition, Kingdom of Bahrain. 180p (Arabic and English), 2006.
- Osorio-Yáñez C, Qiu C, Gelaye B, Enquobahrie DA, Williams MA. Risk of gestational diabetes mellitus in relation to maternal dietary calcium intake. *Public Health Nutr*. 2017; 20(6):1082-1089.
- Prutsky G, Domecq J, Sundaresh V, Elraiyah T, Nabhan M, Prokop L. Screening for Gestational Diabetes: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism*. 2013; 98(11):4311-4318.
- Saldana TM, Siega-Riz AM, Adair LS. Effect of macronutrient intake on the development of glucose intolerance during pregnancy. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 2004; 79:479-486.
- SPSS. Statistical Package for Social Science Computer Software, SPSS, INC Chicago, IL. V.S., 2015.
- Tobias DK, Zhang C, Chavarro J, Bowers K, Rich Edwards J, Rosner B, *et al*. Prepregnancy adherence to dietary patterns and lower risk of gestational diabetes mellitus. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2012; 96:289-295.

25. Wahab HA, Esmail ISA, Fayed A, Alzeidan RA. Gestational diabetes mellitus: maternal and perinatal outcomes in King Khalid University Hospital, Saudi Arabia. *Journal of the Egyptian Public Health Association*. 2013; 88(2):104-108.
26. Wahabi H, Fayed A, Esmail S. Maternal and perinatal outcomes of pregnancies complicated with pregestational and gestational diabetes mellitus in Saudi Arabia. *Diabetes and Metabolism*. 2014; 5:399.
27. Wahabi H, Fayed A, Esmail S, Mamdouh H, Kotb R. Prevalence and Complications of Pregestational and Gestational Diabetes in Saudi Women: Analysis from Riyadh Mother and Baby Cohort Study (RAHMA). *s Bio Med Research International*. Volume 2017, Article ID 6878263, 9 pages <http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2017/6878263>.
28. Wahabi HA, Esmail SA, Fayed A, Al-Shaikh G, Alzeidan RA. Pre-existing diabetes mellitus and adverse pregnancy outcomes, *BMC Research Notes*. 2012; 5:496.
29. Wahabi HA, Esmail SA, Fayed A, Alzeidan RA. Gestational diabetes mellitus: maternal and perinatal outcomes in King Khalid University Hospital, Saudi Arabia. *J Egypt Public Health Assoc*. 2013; 88(2):104-108.
30. World Health Organization. Pregnancy. [online] Available, 2016, <http://www.who.int/topics/pregnancy/en/> [Accessed].
31. World Health Organization. Women's health. [online] Available, 2016, at: <http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs334/en/> [Accessed].
32. Zhang C. Risk factors for gestation diabetes-from an epidemiological standpoint. In: Kim C, Ferrara A, eds. *Gestational diabetes during and after pregnancy*. London, United Kingdom: Springer-Verlag London Limited, 2010, 71-81.
33. Zhang C, Ning Y. Effect of dietary and lifestyle factors on the risk of gestational diabetes: review of epidemiologic evidence. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2011; 94:1975S-1979S.
34. Zhang C, Liu S, Solomon CG, Hu FB. Dietary fiber intake, dietary glycemic load, and the risk for gestational diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Care*. 2006; 29:2223-2230.
35. Zhang C, Qiu C, Hu FB. Maternal plasma 25-hydroxyvitamin D concentrations and the risk for gestational diabetes mellitus. *PLoS ONE* 2008; 3:e3753.
36. Zhang C, Schulze MB, Solomon CG, Hu FB. A prospective study of dietary patterns, meat intake and the risk of gestational diabetes mellitus. *Diabetologia*. 2006; 49:2604-2613.
37. Zhang C, Williams MA, Sorensen TK. Maternal plasma ascorbic acid (vitamin C) and risk of gestational diabetes mellitus. *Epidemiology*. 2004; 15:597-604.
38. Zhu W, Yang H, Wei Y, Yan J, Wang Z, Li X, Wu H, *et al*. Evaluation of the Value of Fasting Plasma, Glucose in the First Prenatal Visit to Diagnose Gestational Diabetes Mellitus in China. *Diabetes Care*. 2013; 36:586-590.