



## Covid-19 pandemic: Impact and strategies for higher education

Dr. Chaganti Rami Reddy

Assistant Professor, Department of Sociology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University, Anantapuramu, Andhra Pradesh, India

### Abstract

The COVID-19 pandemic has posed unprecedented challenges to higher education worldwide, disrupting traditional teaching, learning, and administrative processes. This study examines the multifaceted impact of the pandemic on higher education institutions and the strategies adopted to mitigate its effects; the study synthesizes evidence on academic continuity, digital transformation, mental health, equity, and policy responses across diverse geographic contexts. The findings indicate that the sudden shift to remote learning exposed disparities in digital infrastructure, technological readiness, and student access, disproportionately affecting marginalized and vulnerable populations. Mental health concerns, including stress, anxiety, and social isolation, emerged as significant challenges for both students and faculty, highlighting the importance of supportive interventions and flexible academic policies. Institutions that implemented agile pedagogical frameworks, blended learning models, and inclusive strategies demonstrated greater resilience and maintained academic engagement more effectively. The study emphasizes the integration of technology, mental health support, and inclusive policies as essential components of institutional preparedness for future crises. Lessons from the pandemic underline the need for long-term reforms in higher education, including digital capacity building, faculty training, hybrid learning adoption, and equitable access initiatives. By synthesizing global evidence, this research contributes to understanding the transformative impact of COVID-19 on higher education and provides insights for policy makers, educators, and institutional leaders to strengthen resilience, inclusivity, and quality in post-pandemic educational systems.

**Keywords:** COVID-19, Higher Education, Digital Transformation, Mental Health, Inclusivity, Academic Continuity, Institutional Resilience

### Introduction

The COVID-19 pandemic has caused unprecedented disruptions across all sectors globally, with higher education facing particularly profound challenges. The rapid spread of the virus, coupled with public health restrictions, forced educational institutions to abruptly shift from traditional face-to-face instruction to remote learning modalities, revealing both systemic vulnerabilities and opportunities for transformation (Shohel *et al.*, 2025; Macheka, 2023) [17, 29]. Beyond the logistical and technological challenges, the pandemic also intensified social and economic inequalities, disproportionately affecting students from marginalized backgrounds, including those with disabilities and those from low-income communities (Hunt *et al.*, 2024; Macheka, 2023) [12, 17].

One of the most immediate impacts of COVID-19 on higher education was the mental and emotional well-being of students, faculty, and caregivers. Studies have reported heightened levels of stress, anxiety, and depression among university students during the pandemic, driven by uncertainty around academic progression, social isolation, and concerns about future employability (Gasser *et al.*, 2025; Lotzin *et al.*, 2021) [11, 16]. Furthermore, the psychological burden extended to healthcare workers and other professionals within educational ecosystems, highlighting the broader mental health implications of prolonged exposure to pandemic-related stressors (Plouffe *et al.*, 2021; Richardson *et al.*, 2022) [15, 23]. Young children and caregivers similarly experienced significant disruptions in routine and emotional stability, pointing to the cascading effects of the pandemic across age groups (de Young *et al.*, 2021) [7].

The pandemic also revealed the critical role of digital infrastructure and policy readiness in sustaining educational continuity. Institutions that were able to rapidly adopt digital platforms and online learning modalities managed to mitigate some of the disruptions, emphasizing the importance of strategic leadership and robust digital transformation initiatives in higher education (Shohel *et al.*, 2025; Zurc, 2024) [29, 32]. In contrast, regions with limited access to technology faced widening educational disparities, underscoring how social inequality intersects with technological capacity during global crises (Laituri *et al.*, 2022; Alam *et al.*, 2023) [2, 15]. These inequalities were compounded by challenges in vaccine confidence and public health compliance, which influenced campus reopening strategies and the design of safe learning environments (de Figueiredo *et al.*, 2023; Alshagrawi, 2024) [3, 6].

The pandemic has also prompted a reevaluation of curricular and pedagogical approaches. Higher education institutions have been encouraged to integrate health education, digital literacy, and resilience-building strategies into curricula to better prepare students for future crises (Zurc, 2024) [32]. This shift is not only reactive but also forward-looking, aiming to enhance student engagement, learning outcomes, and overall institutional resilience. Case studies from countries such as Bangladesh and Zimbabwe illustrate the importance of context-specific strategies, including adaptive policy frameworks, inclusive practices for vulnerable populations, and investment in digital infrastructure (Shohel *et al.*, 2025; Macheka, 2023; Alam *et al.*, 2023) [2, 17, 29].

In summary, the COVID-19 pandemic has exposed both vulnerabilities and opportunities in higher education. While it has amplified mental health challenges, social inequalities,

and technological gaps, it has also accelerated the adoption of digital learning, innovation in pedagogical approaches, and the need for strategic institutional planning. Understanding these multifaceted impacts is essential for designing effective strategies to enhance resilience and inclusivity in higher education in a post-pandemic world (Shohel *et al.*, 2025; Gasser *et al.*, 2025)<sup>[11, 29]</sup>.

### Literature Review

The COVID-19 pandemic has had a transformative effect on higher education institutions worldwide, disrupting traditional pedagogical practices and compelling rapid adaptations in teaching, learning, and institutional governance. The sudden closure of campuses and the imposition of lockdown measures forced universities to migrate to online and remote learning systems, revealing significant disparities in digital readiness, technological infrastructure, and accessibility (Shohel *et al.*, 2025; Macheke, 2023)<sup>[17, 29]</sup>. In countries with limited internet penetration and insufficient digital infrastructure, students faced severe challenges in maintaining continuity in their education, exacerbating existing social inequalities (Hunt *et al.*, 2024; Alam *et al.*, 2023)<sup>[2, 12]</sup>. The pandemic highlighted the fragility of conventional educational models and underscored the need for resilient and adaptive strategies to ensure academic continuity and equitable access to learning. This shift has also illuminated the broader socio-economic context in which higher education operates, including the impact on families, communities, and local economies (Laituri *et al.*, 2022; de Figueiredo *et al.*, 2023)<sup>[6, 15]</sup>.

The mental health and well-being of students and staff emerged as critical concerns during the pandemic. Academic stress, uncertainty over examinations, delayed graduations, and the pressures of adapting to remote learning significantly affected students' mental health, leading to increased anxiety, depression, and adjustment disorders (Gasser *et al.*, 2025; Lotzin *et al.*, 2021)<sup>[11, 16]</sup>. These psychological impacts were not limited to students; faculty and caregivers also reported heightened levels of stress and burnout due to workload intensification, technological adaptation, and the challenges of balancing professional and personal responsibilities during lockdowns (de Young *et al.*, 2021; Plouffe *et al.*, 2021; Richardson *et al.*, 2022)<sup>[7, 15, 23]</sup>. Specific groups, such as students with disabilities and those from socio-economically disadvantaged backgrounds, were disproportionately affected, experiencing barriers to accessing educational content, assistive technologies, and supportive learning environments (Hunt *et al.*, 2024; Macheke, 2023)<sup>[12, 17]</sup>. Similarly, global studies emphasized that vulnerable populations, including women and caregivers, faced compounded pressures, highlighting the intersectional nature of pandemic-induced educational challenges (Santos *et al.*, 2021; de Young *et al.*, 2021)<sup>[7, 27]</sup>.

Higher education institutions had to implement innovative strategies to maintain instructional continuity and foster engagement in a largely virtual environment. Digitalisation became a central focus, with universities adopting learning management systems, online teaching platforms, and blended learning models to sustain education delivery (Shohel *et al.*, 2025; Kamoyo *et al.*, 2025; Al-Sholi *et al.*, 2021)<sup>[4, 13, 29]</sup>. Policy frameworks were rapidly revised to accommodate remote learning, assessment flexibility, and emergency preparedness (el Masri&Sabzalieva, 2020; Moon

*et al.*, 2024)<sup>[9, 19]</sup>. In some contexts, the transition to digital platforms was complemented by pedagogical adaptations, including asynchronous lectures, interactive forums, and digital assessment tools designed to maintain academic integrity and engagement (Younis&Elbanna, 2023; Mottiar *et al.*, 2024)<sup>[21, 30]</sup>. However, the shift was not universally seamless; studies reported challenges such as digital fatigue, limited interaction with instructors, and difficulty in replicating practical and laboratory-based learning experiences online (Debnath *et al.*, 2021; Saleh &Jalambo, 2022)<sup>[8, 26]</sup>. The rapid digital transition also underscored the importance of faculty training and professional development, as educators had to adopt new teaching technologies and redesign curricula to meet the demands of online delivery (Morantes-Africano, 2024; Zurc, 2024)<sup>[20, 32]</sup>.

The pandemic revealed significant variations in institutional preparedness and response, both across and within countries. For example, higher education in Bangladesh, Pakistan, Zimbabwe, and Zambia faced unique socio-political and economic challenges, affecting the efficacy of emergency remote learning strategies (Shohel *et al.*, 2025; Khalid *et al.*, 2025; Nyashanu *et al.*, 2023; Macheke, 2023)<sup>[14, 17, 22, 29]</sup>. In some African contexts, universities had to rely on low-tech solutions such as radio and mobile learning platforms to reach students in remote areas (Maphosa&Maphosa, 2023)<sup>[18]</sup>. Similarly, inequities in vaccine access and public health compliance influenced campus reopening strategies, affecting both student attendance and institutional operations (de Figueiredo *et al.*, 2023; Alshagrawi, 2024)<sup>[3, 6]</sup>. These findings highlight that effective crisis management in higher education requires context-sensitive approaches that integrate technological readiness, public health considerations, and inclusive policies (el Masri&Sabzalieva, 2020; Moon *et al.*, 2024)<sup>[9, 19]</sup>.

The pandemic has also provoked a reassessment of pedagogical practices and the role of teacher identity in fostering resilient learning environments. Educators had to adapt their teaching strategies, balancing cognitive, emotional, and technological demands while maintaining student engagement and motivation (Morantes-Africano, 2024; Younis&Elbanna, 2023)<sup>[20, 30]</sup>. Agile educational frameworks were adopted to ensure flexibility in instructional design, assessment, and feedback mechanisms (Al-Sholi *et al.*, 2021)<sup>[4]</sup>. Furthermore, the crisis highlighted the importance of integrating health education, social-emotional learning, and life skills into higher education curricula to equip students with coping strategies and promote holistic development (Zurc, 2024<sup>[32]</sup>; Fūzi *et al.*, 2022). Students' perceptions of mobile learning and e-learning platforms during the pandemic indicated a willingness to embrace digital modalities, although challenges related to access, quality, and interactivity persisted (Saleh &Jalambo, 2022; Debnath *et al.*, 2021)<sup>[8, 26]</sup>.

Remote and blended learning models not only addressed immediate educational disruptions but also created opportunities for long-term institutional transformation. Strategies for post-pandemic higher education emphasize building resilient systems capable of withstanding future crises, with a focus on inclusivity, digital equity, and flexible curricula (Kamoyo *et al.*, 2025<sup>[13]</sup>; Akramy, 2022). Institutions have increasingly recognized the value of

participatory approaches, involving students and communities in decision-making processes to ensure policies reflect diverse needs and experiences (Cuellar & Acevedo, 2025<sup>[5]</sup>; Fúzi *et al.*, 2022). This holistic approach aligns with global discussions on equity in higher education, emphasizing that recovery and future preparedness must go beyond mere technological solutions to address structural inequalities and socio-emotional well-being (Macheka, 2023; Nyashanu *et al.*, 2023; Resch *et al.*, 2023)<sup>[17, 22, 24]</sup>.

The literature highlights that the COVID-19 pandemic has profoundly reshaped higher education, accelerating digitalisation, revealing systemic inequities, and emphasizing mental health and well-being. While the crisis presented significant challenges, it also fostered innovative practices in pedagogy, policy, and institutional resilience, creating a foundation for more adaptive, inclusive, and sustainable higher education systems. Continued research is needed to evaluate the long-term impacts of these strategies and to develop evidence-based approaches for enhancing equity, engagement, and learning outcomes in a post-pandemic context (Shohel *et al.*, 2025; Kamoyo *et al.*, 2025; Macheka, 2023)<sup>[13, 17, 29]</sup>.

The pandemic not only disrupted conventional learning modalities but also prompted higher education institutions to innovate and rethink strategies for resilience and inclusivity. Various studies have documented how universities adopted emergency remote education (ERE) strategies to mitigate learning loss and maintain academic continuity (Kamoyo *et al.*, 2025; Younis&Elbanna, 2023)<sup>[13, 30]</sup>. The adoption of online learning platforms, virtual classrooms, and digital assessment tools enabled institutions to continue instruction despite social distancing measures. These digital solutions, however, varied in effectiveness due to differences in technological infrastructure, faculty readiness, and student digital literacy (Fúzi *et al.*, 2022; Saleh & Jalambo, 2022)<sup>[26]</sup>. In regions with limited connectivity or socio-economic challenges, low-tech solutions such as mobile-based learning, radio broadcasts, and asynchronous content delivery became critical to prevent educational exclusion (Maphosa&Maphosa, 2023; Nyashanu *et al.*, 2023)<sup>[18, 22]</sup>. These adaptive measures highlight the importance of context-specific strategies and the need for institutional flexibility in times of crisis.

Faculty and teacher educators played a pivotal role in implementing these strategies, balancing pedagogy, technology, and student engagement. Studies emphasize that teacher identity and professional development became central to effective teaching during the pandemic, as educators had to rapidly acquire digital skills and redesign curricula for online delivery (Morantes-Africano, 2024; Debnath *et al.*, 2021)<sup>[8, 20]</sup>. Agile educational frameworks were widely recommended, emphasizing flexibility, rapid feedback, and iterative improvement in teaching methods (Al-Sholi *et al.*, 2021)<sup>[4]</sup>. In addition, assessment practices were restructured to accommodate remote learning, with universities adopting alternative evaluation methods, open-book assessments, and formative assessments to maintain academic integrity while reducing student stress (Mottiar *et al.*, 2024; Younis&Elbanna, 2023)<sup>[21, 30]</sup>. These changes indicate a shift toward more adaptive, student-centered approaches in higher education, which may persist beyond the pandemic.

The COVID-19 crisis also reinforced the importance of social and emotional dimensions in education. Student well-

being, engagement, and inclusion were significantly affected by remote learning, isolation, and uncertainty (Resch *et al.*, 2023; Hunt *et al.*, 2024)<sup>[12, 24]</sup>. Institutions increasingly recognized the need for supportive measures, such as counseling services, peer networks, and flexible academic policies, to mitigate the psychological impact of the pandemic (Gasser *et al.*, 2025; Lotzin *et al.*, 2021)<sup>[11, 16]</sup>. Moreover, the pandemic brought attention to the structural inequities in higher education, emphasizing the need for targeted interventions for marginalized groups, including women, students with disabilities, and socio-economically disadvantaged learners (Santos *et al.*, 2021; Cuellar & Acevedo, 2025)<sup>[5, 27]</sup>. Inclusive strategies, such as providing accessible content, financial support, and flexible learning options, have become central to the discourse on resilient higher education systems (Macheka, 2023; Hunt *et al.*, 2024)<sup>[12, 17]</sup>.

Policy responses and leadership approaches were equally critical in shaping institutional resilience. Universities that implemented comprehensive crisis management frameworks, clear communication protocols, and participatory decision-making processes were better able to navigate the disruptions caused by COVID-19 (Moon *et al.*, 2024; Zikargae, 2022)<sup>[19, 31]</sup>. Research indicates that proactive engagement with students, staff, and external stakeholders enhanced trust, compliance with safety measures, and overall institutional adaptability (el Masri&Sabzalieva, 2020; Shohel *et al.*, 2025)<sup>[9, 29]</sup>. Furthermore, the crisis has prompted a reevaluation of higher education's broader societal role, with greater emphasis on community engagement, ethical practices, and preparedness for future disruptions (Cuellar & Acevedo, 2025<sup>[5]</sup>; Akramy, 2022).

Looking toward the post-pandemic era, higher education institutions are increasingly considering hybrid and blended learning models as a permanent feature, integrating online and face-to-face modalities to enhance flexibility and accessibility (Kamoyo *et al.*, 2025<sup>[13]</sup>; Fúzi *et al.*, 2022). Digital literacy, infrastructure development, and faculty training remain priorities, while curricula are being redesigned to include life skills, health education, and adaptive learning strategies to prepare students for uncertainty (Zurc, 2024; Shohel *et al.*, 2025)<sup>[29, 32]</sup>. Studies also emphasize the importance of fostering collaborative networks, both locally and globally, to share best practices, resources, and research insights for resilient higher education systems (de Young *et al.*, 2021; Lotzin *et al.*, 2021)<sup>[7, 16]</sup>. Collectively, these measures reflect a shift toward more inclusive, adaptable, and student-centered education, positioning higher education institutions to respond effectively to future crises while addressing long-standing structural inequities.

The literature demonstrates that the COVID-19 pandemic served as both a challenge and a catalyst for innovation in higher education. Institutions were compelled to adapt rapidly, prioritizing digitalization, inclusive access, and mental health support. While disparities in access and preparedness remain significant, the strategies adopted during the pandemic have provided valuable insights for building resilient, flexible, and equitable higher education systems. The ongoing integration of hybrid learning models, digital technologies, and inclusive policies will likely shape the post-pandemic trajectory of higher education, emphasizing preparedness, adaptability, and social

responsibility (Shohel *et al.*, 2025; Kamoyo *et al.*, 2025; Macheka, 2023)<sup>[13, 17, 29]</sup>.

### Research Methodology

This study adopts a qualitative research approach to explore the multifaceted impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on higher education and the strategies implemented by institutions to mitigate its effects. Given the exploratory nature of the research, the study emphasizes the collection and synthesis of secondary data from peer-reviewed journals, policy reports, and case studies published between 2020<sup>[9]</sup> and 2025. A total of 32 scholarly articles were reviewed, covering diverse geographic contexts, including Asia, Africa, Europe, and North America, to ensure a comprehensive understanding of both challenges and adaptive measures in higher education (Shohel *et al.*, 2025; Macheka, 2023; Kamoyo *et al.*, 2025)<sup>[13, 17, 29]</sup>.

### Objectives of the Study

- To examine the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on higher education across diverse geographic and socio-economic contexts.
- To identify and analyze institutional strategies adopted to ensure academic continuity, digital transformation, and inclusivity during the pandemic.
- To explore the effects of the pandemic on students' and faculty's mental health, equity, and access to digital learning environments.
- To synthesize global best practices and develop evidence-based recommendations for post-pandemic higher education policy and institutional resilience.

The methodology involves a systematic literature review to identify key themes related to academic continuity, digital transformation, mental health, equity, and policy responses. Articles were selected based on relevance, credibility, and scope, with inclusion criteria focusing on studies addressing the effects of COVID-19 on students, faculty, institutional policies, and educational practices. Data extraction involved analyzing reported challenges, innovative strategies, and outcomes, followed by thematic categorization to identify patterns and best practices (Younis&Elbanna, 2023<sup>[30]</sup>; Fúzi *et al.*, 2022).

In addition, the study incorporates a comparative perspective, examining similarities and differences across regions and institutional types. This approach allows for a nuanced understanding of how socio-economic, technological, and cultural factors influenced both the impact of the pandemic and the effectiveness of institutional strategies (Hunt *et al.*, 2024; Shohel *et al.*, 2025)<sup>[12, 29]</sup>. The study also emphasizes the integration of mental health, inclusivity, and digital literacy considerations into higher education strategies, providing a holistic perspective on institutional resilience. By synthesizing findings across multiple contexts, this research aims to contribute to the literature on post-pandemic higher education planning and offer evidence-based recommendations for policy, pedagogy, and institutional leadership (Kamoyo *et al.*, 2025; Macheka, 2023)<sup>[13, 17]</sup>.

### Discussion

The findings from the reviewed literature indicate that the COVID-19 pandemic significantly disrupted higher education systems worldwide, affecting academic delivery,

student engagement, and institutional operations. The rapid transition to online and remote learning exposed gaps in digital infrastructure, faculty readiness, and equitable access to education, particularly for students from marginalized and disadvantaged backgrounds (Shohel *et al.*, 2025; Macheka, 2023; Hunt *et al.*, 2024)<sup>[12, 17, 29]</sup>. While digitalization facilitated continuity, challenges such as limited internet access, digital fatigue, and reduced interaction between students and faculty highlighted the need for robust and context-sensitive strategies (Kamoyo *et al.*, 2025<sup>[13]</sup>; Fúzi *et al.*, 2022).

Mental health emerged as a critical concern during this period. Academic stress, uncertainty around assessments, and social isolation contributed to increased levels of anxiety and depression among students, faculty, and caregivers (Gasser *et al.*, 2025; Lotzin *et al.*, 2021)<sup>[11, 16]</sup>. The literature underscores that targeted interventions, such as counseling services, peer support networks, and flexible academic policies, are essential to mitigate these psychological impacts and foster student well-being (Resch *et al.*, 2023; de Young *et al.*, 2021)<sup>[7, 24]</sup>.

Institutional strategies varied widely, reflecting differences in resources, policy frameworks, and socio-economic contexts. Some universities adopted agile frameworks, blended learning models, and innovative assessment methods to sustain academic quality and engagement (Al-Sholi *et al.*, 2021; Mottiar *et al.*, 2024)<sup>[4, 21]</sup>. Policies emphasizing inclusivity, accessibility, and participatory decision-making were particularly effective in addressing structural inequities and ensuring that vulnerable populations were not excluded from learning opportunities (Cuellar & Acevedo, 2025; Nyashanu *et al.*, 2023)<sup>[5, 22]</sup>.

Overall, the pandemic has highlighted the need for higher education institutions to build resilient, flexible, and inclusive systems capable of responding to crises. The literature suggests that integrating digital tools, mental health support, and adaptive policies can enhance institutional preparedness while promoting equitable and high-quality education (Shohel *et al.*, 2025; Kamoyo *et al.*, 2025)<sup>[13, 29]</sup>. These insights provide a foundation for post-pandemic strategies, emphasizing long-term reforms in pedagogy, policy, and institutional leadership.

### Major Finding

#### 1. Disruption of Traditional Learning Models

The pandemic caused an abrupt shift from conventional classroom teaching to online and hybrid modes of education, forcing institutions to rapidly adopt digital tools and virtual learning environments without adequate preparation or infrastructure support.

#### 2. Digital Divide and Inequality

Significant disparities were observed in access to digital infrastructure, internet connectivity, and devices—especially among students from rural areas, low-income families, and developing nations—intensifying educational inequality and exclusion.

#### 3. Psychological and Emotional Impact

Students, educators, and caregivers experienced heightened levels of stress, anxiety, and burnout due to academic uncertainty, isolation, and technological challenges, demonstrating that mental health support must become an institutional priority.

#### 4. Pedagogical Adaptation and Innovation

The crisis spurred innovation in teaching methods, leading to the adoption of blended learning, flipped classrooms, and asynchronous modules. Faculty members also improved digital competencies and embraced more flexible, student-centered pedagogies.

#### 5. Institutional Agility and Policy Reform

Universities that demonstrated agile governance, decentralized decision-making, and inclusive policy development were more successful in maintaining academic continuity and minimizing disruption during the crisis.

#### 6. Impact on Assessment and Evaluation Practices

The need for academic integrity and fair evaluation led to reforms in assessment systems, with greater emphasis on formative assessment, open-book examinations, and alternative performance evaluations.

#### 7. Inclusion and Accessibility Challenges

Students with disabilities and those from marginalized communities faced additional barriers to learning access and participation, underscoring the necessity of universal design, adaptive content, and inclusive educational practices.

#### 8. Pathway Toward Resilient and Sustainable Education

The pandemic has encouraged higher education institutions to invest in long-term resilience through digital infrastructure enhancement, mental health services, hybrid learning ecosystems, and crisis management planning to prepare for future disruptions.

#### Conclusion

The COVID-19 pandemic has had a profound and multifaceted impact on higher education globally, disrupting traditional learning models, exposing structural inequities, and amplifying mental health challenges among students, faculty, and caregivers. The rapid shift to online and remote education highlighted disparities in digital infrastructure, access, and technological literacy, particularly in low- and middle-income countries, where socio-economic constraints limited the effectiveness of emergency educational strategies (Shohel *et al.*, 2025; Macheka, 2023) [17, 29]. Despite these challenges, the pandemic also served as a catalyst for innovation, compelling institutions to adopt agile pedagogical frameworks, blended learning models, and inclusive policies aimed at sustaining academic continuity and fostering engagement (Kamoyo *et al.*, 2025; Al-Sholi *et al.*, 2021) [4, 13].

The literature demonstrates that mental health, social-emotional support, and inclusivity must be central considerations in higher education planning. Institutions that implemented comprehensive support systems, flexible assessment methods, and participatory decision-making were better able to mitigate the psychological and academic disruptions experienced by students and staff (Gasser *et al.*, 2025; Hunt *et al.*, 2024; Resch *et al.*, 2023) [11, 12, 24]. These adaptive strategies underscore the importance of holistic approaches that integrate technology, policy, and community engagement to build resilient educational systems capable of responding to crises effectively (Cuellar & Acevedo, 2025; Nyashanu *et al.*, 2023) [5, 22].

Looking forward, higher education institutions must leverage the lessons of the pandemic to strengthen long-

term resilience, equity, and quality. Future strategies should prioritize digital capacity building, faculty training, hybrid learning integration, and robust mental health support while addressing systemic inequalities that affect access and participation. Additionally, research on post-pandemic outcomes, pedagogical innovations, and institutional preparedness can inform evidence-based policy interventions that enhance both student learning experiences and institutional adaptability (Shohel *et al.*, 2025; Kamoyo *et al.*, 2025) [13, 29]. By embracing these measures, higher education can emerge stronger, more inclusive, and better prepared to navigate future global challenges, ensuring that academic excellence and equitable access remain central to institutional missions.

#### References

- Akramy SA. Shocks and aftershocks of the COVID-19 pandemic in Afghanistan higher education institutions. *Cogent Arts & Humanities*, 2022;9:2029802. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311983.2022.2029802>
- 1. Alam MJ, Kabir ANMF, Mastura T, Kishore A, Jackson T, Begum IA. The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on vegetable farmers in Bangladesh. *Cogent Food & Agriculture*, 2023;9:2214432. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311932.2023.2214432>
- 2. Alshagrawi S. Impact of COVID-19 pandemic on influenza vaccination rate among health care workers. *Human Vaccines & Immunotherapeutics*, 2024;20:2426284. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21645515.2024.2426284>
- 3. Al-Sholi HY, Shadid OR, Alshare KA, Lane M. An agile educational framework: A response for the COVID-19 pandemic. *Cogent Education*, 2021;8:1980939. <https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2021.1980939>
- 4. Cuellar MG, Acevedo N. Centering Communities of Color in Community College Enrollment Practices: Recommendations from Black and Latine Students. *Community College Journal of Research and Practice*, 2025;49:697-711. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10668926.2025.2510276>
- 5. de Figueiredo A, Temfack E, Tajudeen R, Larson HJ. Declining trends in vaccine confidence across sub-Saharan Africa: A large-scale cross-sectional modeling study. *Human Vaccines & Immunotherapeutics*, 2023;19:2213117. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21645515.2023.2213117>
- 6. de Young AC, Vasileva M, Boruszak-Kiziukiewicz J, Demipence-Seçinti D, Christie H, Egberts MR, *et al.* COVID-19 Unmasked Global Collaboration Protocol: longitudinal cohort study examining mental health of young children and caregivers during the pandemic. *European Journal of Psychotraumatology*, 2021;12:1940760. <https://doi.org/10.1080/20008198.2021.1940760>
- 7. Debnath M, Ojha S, Niraula A, Sharma D. Perceptions of Medical and Allied Health Students Towards Online Education during the COVID-19 Pandemic Phases and Its Future Impact in India. *Journal of European CME*, 2021;10:1993428. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21614083.2021.1993428>
- 8. El Masri A, Sabzalieva E. Dealing with disruption, rethinking recovery: Policy responses to the COVID-19 pandemic in higher education. *Policy Design and*

- Practice,2020:3:312-333.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/25741292.2020.1813359>
9. Fűzi B, Géring Z, Szendrei-Pál E. Changing expectations related to digitalisation and socialisation in higher education. Horizon scanning of pre- and post-COVID-19 discourses. *Educational Review*,2022:74:484-516.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/00131911.2021.2023101>
  10. Gasser P, Grajeda A, Cordova JP, la Fuente I, Cordova P, Naranjo H, *et al.* Mental cost in higher education: a comparative study on academic stress as a predictor of mental health in university students during and after the COVID-19 pandemic. *Cogent Education*,2025:12:2445968.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2024.2445968>
  11. Hunt X, McKenzie J, Hameed S, Khan RS, Luong-Anh N, Ganle J, *et al.* Experiences of accessing education among people with disabilities during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Oxford Development Studies*,2024:52:225-242. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13600818.2024.2390410>
  12. Kamoyo M, Masamha T, Chikazhe L. Remote e-learning model in the post-COVID-19 era; building a resilient higher education strategy. *Cogent Education*,2025:12:2498854.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2025.2498854>
  13. Khalid M, Sarwar A, Ahmad S, Rehman B. Impact of COVID-19 on the quality of higher education in Pakistan: students' perspective. *Cogent Social Sciences*,2025:11:2406636.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/23311886.2024.2406636>
  14. Laituri M, Richardson RB, Kim J, Cline LV, Viscuso S, Schwartz L. Examining second-order impacts of COVID-19 in urban areas. *Annals of GIS*,2022:28:479-490. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19475683.2021.1954087>
  15. Lotzin A, Krause L, Acquarini E, Ajdukovic D, Ardino V, Arnberg F, *et al.* Risk and protective factors, stressors, and symptoms of adjustment disorder during the COVID-19 pandemic. *European Journal of Psychotraumatology*,2021:12:1964197.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/20008198.2021.1964197>
  16. Macheka MT. COVID-19 and social inequality: Lessons from a higher and tertiary education institution in Zimbabwe. *Cogent Education*,2023:10:2272828.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2023.2272828>
  17. Maphosa V, Maphosa M. African higher education institution's response to COVID-19: A bibliometric analysis and visualisation study. *Cogent Education*,2023:10:2273002.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2023.2273002>
  18. Moon J, Randell M, Zhang Y, Li M. Crisis management during the COVID-19 pandemic response within an Australian higher education institution. *Journal of Higher Education Policy and Management*,2024:46:92-106.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/1360080X.2023.2255963>
  19. Morantes-Africano L. Teacher identity and pedagogy: strategies and responses of teacher educators during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Research in Post-Compulsory Education*,2024:29:302-323.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/13596748.2024.2330783>
  20. Mottiar Z, Byrne G, Gorham G, Robinson E. An examination of the impact of COVID-19 on assessment practices in higher education. *European Journal of Higher Education*,2024:14:101-121.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/21568235.2022.2125422>
  21. Nyashanu M, Mtambo C, Karonga T, Walker J. Exploring the impact of COVID-19 lockdown on learning among higher education students on the Copperbelt province, Zambia. *Cogent Education*,2023:10:2200631.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2023.2200631>
  22. Plouffe RA, Nazarov A, Forchuk CA, Gargala D, Deda E, Le T, *et al.* Impacts of morally distressing experiences on the mental health of Canadian health care workers during the COVID-19 pandemic. *European Journal of Psychotraumatology*,2021:12:1984667.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/20008198.2021.1984667>
  23. Resch K, Alnahdi G, Schwab S. Exploring the effects of the COVID-19 emergency remote education on students' social and academic integration in higher education in Austria. *Higher Education Research & Development*,2023:42:215-229.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/07294360.2022.2040446>
  24. Richardson JD, St Cyr K, Forchuk C, Liu JJW, Plouffe RA, Le T, *et al.* Well-being of Canadian Veterans during the COVID-19 pandemic. *European Journal of Psychotraumatology*,2022:13:2012374.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/20008198.2021.2012374>
  25. Saleh NF, Jalambo MO. Female students' perception of m-learning in the higher education institutions of Palestine during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Cogent Education*,2022:9:2147775.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2022.2147775>
  26. Santos L, Stevanato KP, Roszkowski I, Pedroso RB, Pelloso FC, Freitas KMS, *et al.* Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Women's Health in Brazil. *Journal of Multidisciplinary Healthcare*,2021:14:3205-3211.  
<https://doi.org/10.2147/JMDH.S322100>
  27. Schmidt SJ, Barblan LP, Lory I, Landolt MA. Age-related effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on mental health of children and adolescents. *European Journal of Psychotraumatology*,2021:12:1901407.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/20008198.2021.1901407>
  28. Shohel MMC, Roy G, Ashrafuzzaman M, Urmee MA. Digitalisation and transformation in higher education in Bangladesh during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Cogent Education*,2025:12:2514933.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2025.2514933>
  29. Younis H, Elbanna S. Teaching in times of crisis: The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on higher education. *Innovations in Education and Teaching International*,2023:60:451-462.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/14703297.2022.2060850>
  30. Zikargae MMH. Risk communication, ethics and academic integrity in minimizing the impacts of the COVID-19 crisis in Ethiopian higher education. *Cogent Education*,2022:9:2062892.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2022.2062892>
  31. Zurc J. Changed lifestyles during the COVID-19 pandemic: the need for health education in the curriculum of pedagogical students. *Cogent Education*,2024:11:2309735.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2024.2309735>