



IJMRD 2014; 1(7): 4-13  
www.allsubjectjournal.com  
Received: 22-09-2014  
Accepted: 12-11-2014  
e-ISSN: 2349-4182  
p-ISSN: 2349-5979

**Ramanuj Patel**  
Department of Biological  
Sciences Rani Durgawati  
University Jabalpur M.P  
India

## Biological control of plant pathogenic fungi isolated from some wild plants from Madhya Pradesh, India

**Ramanuj Patel**

### Abstract

Twenty two plants were collected from Madhya Pradesh and identified as: *Aerva lanata*, *Arnebia hispidissima*, *Artemisi ajudaica*, *Artemisia monosperma*, *Asphodelus aestivalis*, *Avena barbata*, *Capparis decidua*, *Eucalyptus globulus*, *Euphorbia glomerifera*, *Foeniculum vulgare*, *Forsskaolea tenacissima*, *Juniperus procera*, *Launaea mucronata*, *Launaeasonchoides*, *Medicago sativa*, *Opuntia ficus*, *Phagnalon sinaicum*, *Prunus persica*, *Pulicaria crispa*, *Punica granatum*, *Rumex dentatus* and *Trichodesma calathiforme*. Pathogenic fungi were isolated from some of these plants and identified as *Alternaria alternata*, *Cephalosporium madurae*, *Cladosporium herbarum*, *Fusarium oxysporum*, *Humicola grisea*, *Penicillium chrysogenum* and *Ulocladium botrytis*. Four antagonistic isolates were tested from *Gliocladium fungus* and from *Trichoderma fungus*. We found that all the four antagonistic isolates (*G. deliquescens*, *G. virens*, *T. viride* and *T. haatum*) significantly inhibited the radial growth of the pathogenic fungi tested, with different ratios. The results indicated that the antibiotics produced by the antagonists were more effective than the fungus itself and differ with different fungi. Coating plant stems with antagonists or with antagonist extracts reduce the severity of the disease but not prevents it in all tested pathogens.

**Keywords:** Wild-Plant, fungal pathogens, control, antagonist, antibiotic.

### 1. Introduction

Plant diseases play a direct role in the destruction of natural resources in agriculture. In particular, pathogen causes important losses, fungi being the most aggressive. Chemical compounds have been used to control plant diseases (chemical control), but abuse in their employment has favored the development of pathogens resistant to fungicides (Tjamos *et al.*, 1992). By contrast, the use of microorganisms that antagonize plant pathogens (biological control) is risk-free when it results in enhancement of resident antagonists (Monte, 2001). Biological control of fungal plant pathogens appears as an attractive and realistic approach, and numerous microorganisms have been identified as biocontrol agents. A considerable role in limiting the populations of these pathogenic fungi inhabiting the aboveground parts of plants is played by antagonistic microorganisms. Such properties are first of all exposed by the fungi *Trichoderma* and *Gliocladium* (Massart and Jijakli, 2007 a; Sempere and Santamarina, 2007; Bartmanska and Dmochowska-Gladysz, 2006; El-Katatny *et al.*, 2006; Patkowska, 2003; McQuilken *et al.*, 2001; Roco and Perez, 2001; Ahmed *et al.*, 2000; Harman, 2000; Kredics *et al.*, 2000; Elad and Kapat, 1999; Gupta *et al.*, 1999; Yedidia *et al.*, 1999; Lumsden *et al.*, 1992; Lifshitz *et al.*, 1986). A general description of the vegetation of the western Saudi Arabia has been given by Vesey-Fitzgeraid (1957) and recognized a number of vegetational and ecological types including littoral marshes, coastal desert plain, coastal foothills, mountain ranges and wadies. Batanouny (1979); Fayed and Zayad (1989); Mahmoud and El-Tom (1985) and Montealegre *et al.* (2000) described the vegetation of the Madhya Pradesh and recognized a number of vegetational and ecological types mostly organized in zones. Referring to the Madhya Pradesh flora. Batanouny and Baeshin (1978 and 1982) gave lists of 135 species belonging to 108 genera and 43 families of angiosperms along Jeddah-Makkah road. The distribution of species composition of each in specific ecologically defined habitats would substantiate the fact that such community types are useful as indicators for their habitat characters (El-Shourbagy *et al.*, 1987), even under adverse conditions of disturbance agencies encountered in these habitats. In sand plains *Pergularia tomentosa* is widespread; Mossallam and BaZaid (2000) showed that *P. tomentosa* is widespread in Taif and latex of its stem and leaves is irritant to the skin and eyes and can cause inflammation and pain, and if ingested can cause stomach cramps and diarrhea. In medicine it is used as expectorant and purgative. Many medicinal herbs in nature

**Correspondence:**  
**Ramanuj Patel**  
Department of Biological  
Sciences Rani Durgawati  
University Jabalpur M.P.,  
India

as *Pulicaria crispa*, *Launaea sonchoides*, *Forsskaolea tenacissima*, *Capparis decidua*, *Prunus peorsica* and *Avena barbata* may be infected by many disease (Zhenying *et al.*, 2004). So the objective of this work is to protect these plants from fungal diseases by antagonistic fungi.

## 2. Material and Methods

### Surveying and monitoring of some wild plants in Madhya Pradesh area

Wild plants were collected from different regions of Madhya Pradesh, and identified according to TackHolm (1974); Boulos, and El-Hadidi, (1994) and Boulos (2002).

### Isolation and Identification of pathogenic fungi from wild plants

Pieces of plants that showed symptoms of the disease were submerged in 5% sodium hypochloride for five minutes. After this treatment, they were extensively washed with sterile distilled water and placed on Petri dishes containing potato-dextrose-agar (PDA, Difco) amended with streptomycin sulphate (30 mg/L) and rose Bengal (3.3 mL of 1% (w/v)) to eliminate bacterial contamination and incubated at 25 °C for 72 h according to Abou-Zeid *et al.* (2004); Montealergre *et al.* (2003) and Ismail and Aly (1997). The isolated fungal strains were purified and identified, according to Burgess *et al.* (1988); Klich & Pitt (1988); Pitt (1988); Domsch, *et al.* (1980); Alexopouls and Mims (1979); Ellis (1976) and Booth (1977).

### Antagonistic microorganisms

Tested fungal bioagents included 2 isolates belonging to *Trichoderma* spp. *T. viride* and *T. hamatum* and 2 isolates belonging to *Gliocladium* spp. *G.virens* and *G.deliquescens*, where TU is abbreviation of University culture collection.

### In vitro evaluation of the antagonistic potential of the fungal bioagents tested

Antagonistic reaction between the causal pathogens and the bioagents were studied *in vitro*. One Ax gar disc (4mmdiam.) With the active mycelium of the bioagents was taken from advancing mat zone of a 3-days-old culture grew on PDA medium and transferred to one side of a plate. The other side was inoculated with active mycelium plug taken from the outer margin of a 3-daysold culture of the causal pathogens (El-Kafrawy *et al.*2002). This experiment was conducted in 3 replicates per each bioagent and plates were incubated at 25+3 °C for 5 days. Plates containing only pathogen were used as a control. Inhibition percentages of the pathogen were calculated just after overlapping of the two tested fungi according to the following equation:

$$\text{Inhibition \%} = \frac{P-C}{C}$$

Since

P= Mean diameter of the pathogen growth on the nearby site of the fungus disk which faces the bioagent candidate.

C= Mean diameter growth of the pathogen control.

### Production of diffusible antibiotics

PDA plates, covered with a cellophane membrane, were inoculated in the center with 100 um of a bioantagonistic fungal suspension. After incubation for 72 h at 22 °C, the membrane with the grown organism was removed, and the plate was inoculated in the middle with a 10-mm disk of a pure culture of the pathogen. Plate was further incubated at 22 °C

for 48 h and the growth of the pathogen was measured. Control was run as above replacing the antagonisms by sterile distilled water (Montealergre *et al.*, 2003).

### Control of pathogen by coating plant stems with antagonists

The basal portions of plant stems were coated with each antagonists by soaking the stems for 3 min in fungal antagonist culture + 2% (w/v) carboxyl methyl cellulose (CMC), then the coated stems planted in sands infected with its pathogen with the rate of 10<sup>6</sup> spore per g dry soil. Control was run as above replacing the antagonisms by sterile distilled water and the percent of inhibition was calculated (Wu *et al.*, 1986 modified).

### Control of pathogen by antagonist extracts

Plant cuttings were soaked in fungal antagonist filtrate for 3 min, then planting in sand beds infected with its pathogen with the rate of 10<sup>6</sup> spores per g dry soil. Control was run as above replacing the antagonisms by sterile distilled water, and the percent of inhibition was calculated (Jones and Pettit, 1987 modified).

## 3. Results and Discussion

Many plants were collected and identified listed in Table 1. The collected plants revealed that the presence of *Artemisia judaica*, *Capparis decidua*, *Eucalyptus globules*, *Euphorbia glomerifera*, *Juniperus procera*, *Launaea mucronata*, *Medicago sativa*, *Prunus persica*, *Punica granatum* and *Opuntia ficus* in Madhya pradesh area. At india the following plants were collected, *Aerva lanata*, *Arnebia hispidissima*, *Artemisia judaica*, *Asphodelus aestives*, *Avena barbata*, *Foeniculum vulgare*, *Forsskaolea tenacissima*, *Launaea sonchoides*, *Rumexdentatus*, *Phagnalon sinaicum*, *Pulicaria crispa* and *Trichodesma calathiforme*. They recoded twenty-three vegetation groups seven groups dominated by *Aerva lanata*, *Aizoon canariense*, *Arnebia hispidissima*, *Blepharis ciliaris*, *Capparis decidua* *Pergularia tomentosa* and *Salsola spinescens*, in the sand plains, *Aerva gavanica*, *Anthemis melompodina*, *Bassia muricata*, *Calotropis procera*, *Coccinea grandis*, *Dipterygium gluacum* and *Haloxylon scoparium* in the valleys, *Anvillea gracinii*, *Dianthis strictus*, *Ecoboliu gymnostachyum*, *Euryops arabicus* and *Halothammus bottae* in the slopes, and *Capparis sinaica*, *Centaurea schimperi*, *Maerua oblongifolia* and *Salsola kali* in the plateaus.

However, some of the species have wide ecological and sociological ranges of distribution. These are *Aerva lanata*, *Haloxylon scoparium* and *Salsola spinescens*. Where *Aerva juvanica*, *Anthemis melompodina*, *Calotropis procera*, *Coccinea grandis* and *Dipterygium glaucum* are moderatel distributed. In contrast, other species are confined to certain plant communities: *Ehretia obtusifolia* associated with *C. grandis* community in valleys habitat and *Dianthis strictus* associated with *C. schimperi* in plateaus habitat.

**Table 1:** Plants collected and identified from TFRI and SFRI Regions of isolation in Different Wild Plant of Central India

Plants collected and identified from TFRI and SFRI Regions of isolation in	Pathogens: Pathogenic fungi were identified as	Frequency of pathogenic fungi
<p><i>Aerva lanata</i> <i>Arnebia hispidissima</i>  <i>Artemisia judaica</i> <i>Asphodelus aestives</i>  <i>Avena barbata</i> <i>Foeniculum vulgare</i>  <i>Forsskaolea tenacissima</i> <i>Launaea sonchoides</i>  <i>Phagnalon sinaicum</i>  <i>Pulicaria crispa</i> <i>Rumex dentatus</i>  <i>Trichodesma calathiforme</i> <i>Artemisia monosperma</i>  <i>Capparis dcidua</i>  <i>Eucalyptus lobules</i> <i>Euphorbia glomerifera</i>  <i>Juniperus procera</i>  <i>Launaea mucronata</i> <i>Medicago sativa</i>  <i>Opuntia ficus</i> <i>Prunus persica</i> <i>Punica granatum</i>.</p>	<p>1. <i>Alternaria alternata</i>, the cause of spot disease, was isolated from <i>Avena barbata</i>, <i>Euphorbia glomerifera</i>, <i>Forsskaolea tenacissima</i>, <i>Launaea sonchoides</i> and <i>Prunus persica</i>.  2. <i>Cephalosporium madurae</i>, the cause of leaf strip (late wilt), was isolated from <i>Launaea sonchoides</i>  3. <i>Cladosporium herbarum</i>, the cause of leaf mold (leaf spot), was isolated from <i>pulicaria crispa</i>.  4. <i>Fusarium oxysporum</i>, the cause of wilt disease, was isolated from <i>Prunus persica</i>.  5. <i>Humicola grisea</i>, the cause of wilt disease, was isolated from <i>Artemisia monosperma</i>.  6. <i>Penicillium chrysogenum</i>, the cause of wilt disease, was isolated from <i>Capparis dcidua</i>.  <i>Ulocladium botrytis</i>, the cause of rot disease, was isolated from <i>Forsskaolea tenacissima</i>.</p>	<p>From the results we can concluded that <i>A. alternata</i> fungus was recorded in 5 plants (<i>Avena barbata</i>, <i>Euphorbia glomerifera</i>, <i>Forsskaolea tenacissima</i>, <i>Launaea sonchoides</i> and <i>Prunus persica</i>), so it is the most frequent fungus. <i>U. botrytis</i> and <i>A. alternata</i> fungi were infected <i>Forsskaolea tenacissima</i> plant, while <i>Prunus persica</i> plant was infected by <i>A. alternata</i> and <i>F. oxysporum</i> fungi, while all the other tested plants were infected by one pathogenic fungus only.</p>

**Table 2:** *In vitro* antagonistic potential of *Gliocladium deliquescens* and *Gliocladium virens* on the causal pathogens

Inhibition percent (I %)	Source of Isolation Pathogen	<i>Gliocladium deliquescens</i>	<i>Gliocladium virens</i>
<i>Ulocladium botrytis</i>	<i>Forsskaolea tenacissima</i>	62.12	51.97
<i>Alternaria alternata</i>	<i>Prunus persica</i>	61.54	43.08
<i>Alternaria alternata</i>	<i>Euphorbia glomerifera</i>	46.84	42.19
<i>Alternaria alternata</i>	<i>Avena barbata</i>	54.61	47.34
<i>Alternaria alternata</i>	<i>Forsskaolea tenacissima</i>	52.38	53.18
<i>Alternaria alternata</i>	<i>Launaea sonchoides</i>	48.73	42.06
<i>Cladosporium herbarum</i>	<i>Pulicaria crispa</i>	40.74	42.11
<i>Cephalosporium madurae</i>	<i>Launaea sonchoides</i>	29.75	59.44
<i>Penicillium chrysogenum</i>	<i>Capparis dcidua</i>	63.33	65.56
<i>Fusarium oxysporum</i>	<i>Prunus persica</i>	55.11	51.39
<i>Humicola grisa</i>	<i>Artemisia monosperma</i>	44.58	16.67

**Table 3:** *In vitro* antagonistic potential of *Trichoderma viride* and *Trichoderma hamatum* on the causal pathogens Inhibition percent (I %) *T. viride* *T. hamatum* Pathogen Source of isolation

Inhibition percent (I %)	Source of Isolation Pathogen	<i>Trichoderma viride</i>	<i>Trichoderma hamatum</i>
<i>Ulocladium botrytis</i>	<i>Forsskaolea tenacissima</i>	62.12	51.97
<i>Alternaria alternata</i>	<i>Prunus persica</i>	61.54	43.08
<i>Alternaria alternata</i>	<i>Euphorbia glomerifera</i>	46.84	42.19
<i>Alternaria alternata</i>	<i>Avena barbata</i>	54.61	47.34
<i>Alternaria alternata</i>	<i>Forsskaolea tenacissima</i>	52.38	53.18
<i>Alternaria alternata</i>	<i>Launaea sonchoides</i>	48.73	42.06
<i>Cladosporium herbarum</i>	<i>Pulicaria crispa</i>	40.74	42.11
<i>Cephalosporium madurae</i>	<i>Launaea sonchoides</i>	29.75	59.44
<i>Penicillium chrysogenum</i>	<i>Capparis dcidua</i>	63.33	65.56
<i>Fusarium oxysporum</i>	<i>Prunus persica</i>	55.11	51.39
<i>Humicola grisa</i>	<i>Artemisia monosperma</i>	44.58	16.67



**Table 4:** *In vitro* antagonistic potential of antibiotics produced by *Gliocladium deliquescens* and *Gliocladium virens* on the causal pathogens

Inhibition percent (I %)	Source of Isolation Pathogen	<i>Gliocladium deliquescens</i>	<i>Gliocladium virens</i>
	<i>Ulocladium botrytis</i>	100	100
	<i>Alternaria alternata</i>	100	100
	<i>Alternaria alternata</i>	100	86.32
	<i>Alternaria alternata</i>	100	84.09
	<i>Alternaria alternata</i>	100	82.69
	<i>Alternaria alternata</i>		100
	<i>Cladosporium herbarum</i>	100	100
	<i>Cephalosporium madurae</i>	100	46.91
	<i>Penicillium chrysogenum</i>	100	49.44
	<i>Fusarium oxysporum</i>	100	48.15
	<i>Humicola grisa</i>	100	100

#### 4. Antagonistic effect of *Gliocladium deliquescens* and *Gliocladium virens* against different pathogens


The antagonistic potential of *G. deliquescens* and *G. virens* against different pathogens was measured by dual culture method using PDA medium. Data presented in Table 2 and Figure 1 and Figure 2 show that *G. deliquescens* and *G. virens* significantly inhibited the radial growth of all pathogens tested, when compared with the control. The antagonistic fungus sometimes grew over mycelium of the pathogens. The maximum inhibition for the *G. deliquescens* radial growth was reported with *Penicillium chrysogenum* isolated from *Capparis dcidua* which resulted in inhibition of 63.33%, followed by *Ulocladium botrytis* isolated from *Forsskaolea tenacissima* with 62.12% inhibition. The lowest inhibition percent of 29.75 was recorded by *Cephalosporium madurae* isolated from *Launae sonchoides* (Table 2 and Figure 1). The maximum inhibition for the *G. virens* radial growth was reported with *Penicillium chrysogenum* isolated from *Capparis dcidua* which resulted in inhibition of 65.6%, followed by *Cephalosporium madurae* isolated from *Pulicaria crispa* with 59.44% inhibition. The lowest inhibition percent of 16.67 was recorded by *Humicola grisa* isolated from *Artemisia monosperma* (Table 2 and Figure 2).

We can conclude that the inhibition effects of the two *Gliocladium* strains were similar except for *Humicola grisa* isolated from *Artemisia monosperma*, where the inhibition percent was 44.58 for *G. deliquescens* and 16.67 for *G. virens* respectively. Also the *Cephalosporium madurae* was inhibited with 29.75 % by *G. deliquescens* and with 59.44 % by *G. virens* (Table 2). On the other hand the highest inhibition percent was recorded with *P. chrysogenum* by the two *Gliocladium* strains.

*U. botrytis* + *G. deliquescens**Madur G.* + *Gdeliquescensdeliquescens**P. chrysogenum* + *G. deliquescens**A. alternata* (*P. persica*)



*A.alternata (E.glomerifera) + G.deliquescens*



*A.alternata + G.virens*



*A.alternate (F.tenacissima) + G. deliquescens*



*H.grisa + G.virens*

**Fig 1:** Overgrowth and growth inhibition of some pathogenic fungi by *Gliocladium deliquescens*. Mycelial discs of pathogenic fungus (left) transformand and *G. deliquescens* antagonism were placed at opposite sides (right) of PDA Petri dishes. Plates were incubated and photographs taken after 5 days incubation



*P. chrysogenum + G. virens*



*A. alternate + G. virens*



*C. madurae + G. virens*



*Cl. herbarum + G. virens*

**Fig 2:** Overgrowth and growth inhibition of some pathogenic fungi by *Gliocladium virens*. Mycelia discs of pathogenic fungus (left) transformand and *G. virens* antagonism were placed at opposite sides (right) of PDA Petri dishes. Plates were incubated and photographs taken after 5 days incubation.

### 5. Antagonistic effect of *Trichoderma viride* and *Trichoderma hamatum* against different pathogens

The antagonistic potential of *T. viride* and *T. hamatum* against different pathogens was measured by dual culture method using PDA medium. Data presented in Table 3 and Figure 3 and Figure 4 show that *T. viride* and *T. hamatum* significantly inhibited also the radial growth of all pathogens tested, when compared with the control.

The antagonistic fungus sometimes grew over mycelium of the pathogens. The maximum inhibition for the *T. viride* radial growth was reported with *Alternaria alternata* isolated from *Forsskaolea tenacissima* which resulted in inhibition of 62.7%, followed by *Cephalosporium madurae* isolated from *Launaea sonchoides* with 60% inhibition. The lowest inhibition percent of 7.41 was recorded by *Cladosporium herbarum* isolated from *Pulicaria crispa* followed by *Humicola grisa* isolated from *Arterneria monosperma* with inhibition percent of 16.67. The maximum inhibition for the *T. hamatum* radial growth was reported with *Alternaria alternata* isolated from *Euphorbia glomerifera* which resulted in inhibition of 67.24%, followed by *Ulocladium botrytis* isolated from *Forsskaolea tenacissima* with 62.24% inhibition. The lowest inhibition percent of 22.08 was recorded by *Humicola grisa* isolated from *Artemisia monosperma*.

Figure 2: Overgrowth and growth inhibition of some pathogenic fungi by *Gliocladium virens*. Mycelial discs of pathogenic fungus (left) transformed and *G. virens* antagonism were placed at opposite sides (right) of PDA Petri dishes. Plates were incubated and photographs taken after 5 days incubation so we can conclude that the highest differences between the two strains of *Trichoderma*, (Table 3) were reported with *Cladosporium herbarum* where the inhibition percent was 7.41 by *T. viride* and 31.71% by *T. hamatum* respectively. Also *Fusarium oxysporum* was inhibited with 25.7% by *T. viride* and with 53.87 % by *T. hamatum*. On the other hand the highest inhibition percent was reported with *A. alternata*, and the lowest percent was found in *H. grisa* by the two *Trichoderma* strains. Figure 3: Overgrowth and growth inhibition of some pathogenic fungi by *Trichoderma viride*. Mycelial discs of pathogenic fungus (left) transformed and *T. viride* antagonism were placed at opposite sides (right) of PDA Petri dishes. Plates were incubated and photographs taken after 5 days incubation With respect to the effects of antibiotics produced by *Gliocladium* spp, as shown in Table 4 the *G. deliquescens* antibiotics were completely inhibited all pathogens growth except for *C. madurae* where the growth was inhibited only by 46.91 % While the effects of *G. virens* antibiotics were weaker than that of *G. deliquescens*. *F. oxysporum* was inhibited by 48.15 % and *P. chrysogenum* by 49.44 %, then the three *A. alternata* strains from 82.69 – 86.32 inhibition percent. The antibiotics produced by *T. viride* were completely inhibited all the pathogens growth (Table 5). The *T. hamatum* antibiotics also inhibited the pathogens growth except for *Cephalosporium madurae* where only 40.45 % of growth was inhibited and 60 % inhibition was detected with *Cl. herbarum*. So we can conclude that the effect of antibiotics produced by the antagonists were more effective than the fungus itself and differ with different fungi. Also the antibiotics produced by *Trichoderma* spp. were more effective than that of *Gliocladium* spp.




*A. alternata* + *T. viride*




*C. madurae* + *T. viride*




*Cl. herbarum* + *T. viride*



*H. grisa* + *T. viride*



*Alternaria + T. virid,*



*H. grisa + T. hamatum*



*A. alternata + T. viride*




*Cl. herbarum + T. hamatum*


**Fig 3:** Overgrowth and growth inhibition of some pathogenic fungi by *Trichoderma viride*. Mycelia discs of pathogenic fungus (left) transform and and *T. viride* antagonism were placed at opposite sides (right) of PDA Petri dishes. Plates were incubated and photographs taken after 5 days incubation



*A. alternata + T. hamatum*



*A. alternata + T. hamatum*



*U. botrytis + T. ham*



*A. alternata + T. hamatum*

**Fig 4:** Overgrowth and growth inhibition of some pathogenic fungi by *Trichoderma hamatum*. Mycelia discs of pathogenic fungus (left) transform and and *T. hamatum* antagonism were placed at opposite sides (right) of PDA Petri dishes. Plates were incubated and photographs taken after 5 days incubation

**Table 5:** *In vitro* antagonistic potential of antibiotics produced by *Trichoderma hamatum* and *Trichoderma viride* on the causal pathogens  
Pathogen Source of isolation Inhibition percent by antibiotics (I %) *T. hamatum* *T. viridae*

Inhibition percent (I %)	Source of Isolation Pathogen	<i>T. hamatum</i>	<i>T. viridae</i>
<i>Ulocladium botrytis</i>	<i>Forsskaolea tenacissima</i>	100	100
<i>Alternaria alternata</i>	<i>Prunus persica</i>	100	100
<i>Alternaria alternata</i>	<i>Euphorbia glomerifera</i>	100	100
<i>Alternaria alternata</i>	<i>Avena barbata</i>	100	100
<i>Alternaria alternata</i>	<i>Forsskaolea tenacissima</i>	100	100
<i>Alternaria alternata</i>	<i>Launaea sonchoides</i>	100	100
<i>Cladosporium herbarum</i>	<i>Pulicaria crispa</i>	60	100
<i>Cephalosporium madurae</i>	<i>Launaea sonchoides</i>	40.45	100
<i>Penicillium chrysogenum</i>	<i>Capparis dcidua</i>	100	100
<i>Fusarium oxysporum</i>	<i>Prunus persica</i>	100	100
<i>Humicola grisa</i>	<i>Artemisia monosperma</i>	100	100

## 6. Control of pathogen by coating plant stems with antagonists or with antagonist extracts

We found that coating plant stems with antagonists or with antagonist extracts reduce the severity of the disease but not prevent it in all tested pathogens. The antagonistic activity of *Trichoderma* and *Gliocladium* spp. could be related to their ability to act as biocontrol against fungal phytopathogens either indirectly, by competing for nutrients and space, modifying the environmental conditions, or promoting plant growth and plant defensive mechanisms and antibiosis, or directly, by mechanisms such as mycoparasitism (Cook, 2000 and Chakra borty *et al.*, 1994). These indirect and direct mechanisms may act coordinately and their importance in the biocontrol process depends on the strain, the antagonized fungus, the crop plant, and the environmental conditions, including nutrient availability, pH, temperature, and iron concentration (Bell *et al.*, 1982 and Benítez *et al.*, 2004). Most *Trichoderma* strains produce volatile and non-volatile toxic metabolites that impede colonization by antagonized microorganisms; among these metabolites, the production of harzianic acid, alamethicins, tricholin, peptaibols, antibiotics, 6-pentyl- $\gamma$ -pyrone, massoialactone, viridin, gliovirin, glisoprenins, heptelidic acid and others have been described (Vey *et al.*, 2001). Strains of *T. virens* are able to produce gliovirin and used to protect cotton seedlings from *Pythium ultimum* (Chet *et al.*, 1997 and Howell, 1998). Also, our results agree with those reported by Mishra and Mukhopadhyay (1997); Larkin and Farvel (1998) and El-Kafrawy *et al.* (2002), they stated that *Trichoderma* spp. and *Gliocladium* spp. Controlled *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. Cucumerinum. *et al.* (1999) isolated a mixture of polypeptides, named trichovirins (TV) from the culture broth of the mold *T. viride* NRRL 5243. Also Krause *et al.* (2005) isolated polypeptide antibiotic suzukacillin (SZ) from the culture broth of the mold *T. viride*, strain 63 C-I. The microheterogeneous alamethicin F30 (ALM F30) was isolated from the fermentation of *T. viride* strain NRRL 3199 (Psurek *et al.*, 2005). Peptaibols, the products of non-ribosomal peptide synthetases (NRPS), are linear peptide antibiotics produced by *Trichoderma* and other fungal genera (Ada *et al.*, 2007). *Trichoderma virens* strain Gv29-8, a well-known biocontrol agent and inducer of plant defence responses, produces three lengths of peptaibols, 11, 14 and 18 residues long, with several isoforms of each. Metabolites released from *Trichoderma viride*, *T. polysporum*, *T. hamatum* and *T. aureoviride* were tested in culture medium against *Ceratocystis paradoxa*, which causes black seed rot in oil palm sprouted seeds. The *Trichoderma* metabolites had similar fungistatic effects on

the growth of *C. paradoxa* except those from *T. aureoviride*. The inhibition varied depending on the *Trichoderma* species producing the metabolites; from 2.0% to 64% in volatile, 0.0% to 74% in non-volatile and 0.0% to 81% from direct-diffusible metabolites (Eziashi *et al.*, 2006). This finding supported also our results. In a laboratory experiment, inoculating Douglas-fir seedlings with *G. virens* (10% w/w) prior to inoculation with *Fusarium* increased survival time when compared to concurrent inoculations of fungi (Dumroese *et al.*, 1996). Highly *et al.* (1996) reported that *Gliocladium virens* has shown good antagonism against decay fungi in agar medium and in wood blocks. Gliotoxin produced by *G. virens* is associated with biocontrol of some plant diseases. Decay was reduced in blocks treated with the culture filtrates but was not completely stopped and this supported also our results. The results of this study indicated that the tested *Gliocladium* and *Trichoderma* spp. significantly inhibited the growth and reduced the severity of the disease of the pathogenic fungi with different ratios.

## 7. Acknowledgements

The authors are thankful to V.C K. N. Singh Yadav R.D. University and also thank full to Head, Department of Biological Sciences, R.D. University, and Jabalpur (M.P.) for providing the lab facilities.

## 8. References

1. Abd El-Fattah RI, Ali AA. Vegetation- Environment relations in Taif, Saudi Arabia. International Journal of Botany 2005; 1(2):206-211.
2. Abou-Zeid AM, Mahmoud YAG, Talhi AE. Effect of gauch insecticide on the efficacy of fungicides used to control root-rot and damping off diseases in cotton seedlings. Egyptian Journal of Microbiology 2004; 9:1-10.
3. Ada V, Aric W, Yariv B, Ilan C, Charles K. The 18mer peptaibols from *Trichoderma virens* elicit plant defence responses. Molecular Plant Pathology 2007; 8(6):737-746(10).
4. Ahmed AS, Sanchez C, Candela EM. Evaluation of induction of systemic resistance in pepper plants (*Capsicum annuum*) of *Phytophthora capsici* using *Trichoderma harzianum* and its relation with capsidiol accumulation. European Journal of Plant Pathology 2000; 106(9):817-824.
5. Alexopoulos CJ, Mims CW. Introductory Mycology. John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1979.
6. Bartmanska A, Dmochowska- Gladysz J. Transformation of steroid by *Trichoderma hamatum*.



- Enzyme and Microbial Technology 2006; 40(6):1615-1621.
7. Batanouny KH. Vegetation along Jeddah- Makkah road: Pattern and process as affected by human impact. *Journal Arid Environment* 1979; 2:21-30.
  8. Batanouny KH, Baeshin NA. Studies on the flora of Arabia I, the Jeddah-Makkah Road, Saudi Arabia. *Taekholmia* 1978; 9: 67-81.
  9. Batanouny KH, Baeshin NA. Studies on the flora of Arabia II. The Medina-Badr Road. Saudi Arabia. *Bullitin Faculty of Science Kingdom of Abdulaziz University* 1982; 6:7-29.
  10. Bell DK, Wells HD, Markham CR. *In vitro* antagonism of *Trichoderma* spp. against six fungal plant pathogens. *Phytopathology* 1982; 72:379-382.
  11. Benítez T, Rincón AM, Carmen Limón MC, Codón AC. Biocontrol mechanisms of *Trichoderma* strains. *International Journal of Microbiology* 2004; 7(4):249-260.
  12. Booth C. Laboratory guide to the identification of the *Fusarium* species. *Commonwealth Mycological Institute, Surrey, England* 1977, 58.
  13. Boulos L. Flora of Egypt: Volume Three (Verbinaceae-Compositae). *Al-Hadara Publishing, Cairo, Egypt*, 2002, 373.
  14. Boulos L, El-Hadidi NM. The Weed Flora of Egypt. *American University in Cairo Press, Cairo*, 1994, 361.
  15. Burgess LW, Lidell CM, Summerell BA. Laboratory manual for *Fusarium* research. *Fusarium Research Laboratory, Department of Plant Pathology and Agriculture Entomology, The University of Sydney*, 1988.
  16. Chakraborty BN, Das SK, Chakraborty U. Phyllosphere microflora of tea (*Camellia sanenness* (L.) O. Kuntze) and their interaction with *Glomerella cingulata* the causal agent of brown light disease. *Tea* 1994; 15(1):27-34.
  17. Chet I, Inbar J, Hadar I. Fungal antagonists and mycoparasites. In: Wicklow DT, Söderström B (eds) *The Mycota IV: Environmental and microbial relationships*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997, 165-184.
  18. Cook RG. Advances in plant health management in the 20th centry. *Annual Reveview of Phytopathology* 2000; 38:95-116.
  19. Domsch K, Gams W, Anderson T. *Compendium of Soil Fungi*. Acad, Press, London, 1980, 889.
  20. Dumroese RK, James RL, Wenny DL. *Gliocladium virens* in an alginate prill ineffective as a biological control of *Fusarium* root disease in container-grown Douglas-fir. *New Forests* 1996; 12(2):113-124.
  21. Elad Y, Kapat A. The role of *Trichoderma harzianum* protease in the biocontrol of *Botrytis cinerea*. *Eurbian Journal of Plant Pathology* 1999; 105: 177-189.
  22. El-Kafrawy AA, Moustafa-Mahmoud SM, Ismaiel AA. Biological control of *Fusarium* wilt disease on Cucumber, in protected cultivation. *The First Conf. Of The General Agric. Pesticide Lab* 2002, 376-384.
  23. El-Katatny MH, Abdelzaher HMA, Shoulkamy MA. Antagonistic actions of *Pythium oligandrum* and *Trichoderma harzianum* against phytopathogenic fungi (*Fusarium oxysporum* and *Pythium ultimum* var. *ultimum*). *Archives of Phytopathology and Plant Pathology* 2006; 39(4):289-301.
  24. El-Shourbagy MN, Baeshin NA, Sahhar KF, Al-Zahrani HS. Studies on the ecology of the western provinces of Saudi Arabia. II- Vegetation and soil wadi- Qudaid-Wadi Sitarah Ecosystem. *Researches Science K.A.U.*, 1987, 5-17.
  25. Ellis MB. More dematiaceous hyphomycetes. Kew, U.K. *International Mycological Institute*, 1976.
  26. Eziashi EI, Uma NU, Adekunle AA, Airede CE. Effect of metabolites produced by *Trichoderma* species against *Ceratocystis paradoxa* in culture medium. *African Journal of Biotechnology* 2006; 5(9):703-706.
  27. Fayed A, Zayed K. Vegetation along Makkah-Taif Road (Saudi Arabia). *Arab Gulf Journal Scientific Research* 1989; 7(3):97-117.
  28. Gupta VP, Tewari SK, Govindaiah, Bajpai AK. Ultrastructure of mycoparasitism of *Trichoderma*, *Gliocladium* and *Laetisaria* Species on *Botryodiplodia theobromae*. *Journal Phytopathology* 1999; 147(1):19-24.
  29. Harman GE. Myths and dogmas of biocontrol: Changes in perceptions derived from research on *Trichoderma harzianum* T-22. *Plant Diseases* 2000; 84:377-393.
  30. Highley TL, Madison WI, Padmanabha AHS, Howell CR. Antagonistic Properties of *Gliocladium virens* Against Wood Mal J *Microbiol* 1996; 4(1):30-39.
  31. Attacking Fungi. The International Research Group On Wood Preservation. Section 1 Biology. *The 27<sup>th</sup> Annual Meeting. Guadaloupe, French West Indies, 19-24 May*.
  32. Howell CR. The role of antibiosis in biocontrol. In: Harman GE, Kubicek CP (eds) *Trichoderma & Gliocladium*, vol. 2. *Taylor & Francis, Padstow*, 1998, 173-184.
  33. Ismail AA, Aly AA. Sensitivity of some isolates of *Rhizoctonia solani* isolated from cotton seedlings to the insecticide Gaucho in combination with seed-dressing fungicide used for controlling seedling disease. *Journal Agriculture Science. Mansoura University*. 1997; 22(12): 4511-4523.
  34. Jaworski A, Kirschbaum J, Brückner H. Structures of trichovirins II, peptaibol antibiotics from the mold *Trichoderma viride* NRRL 5243. *Journal Peptide Science* 1999; 5(8):341 – 351.
  35. Jone RW, Pettit RE. Variation in sensitivity among anastomosis groups of *Rhizoctonia solani* to the antibiotic gliotoxin. *Plant diseases* 1987; 71:34-36.
  36. Klich MA, Pitt JI. A laboratory guide to common *Aspergillus* species and their teleomorphs. *CSIRO Division of Food Processing, North Ryde, NSW, Australia*, 1988, 116.
  37. Krause C, Kirschbaum J, Jung G, Hans Brückner H. Sequence diversity of the peptaibol antibiotic suzukacillin-A from the mold *Trichoderma viride*. *Journal Peptide Science* 2005; 12(5):321-327.
  38. Kredics LD, Doczi I, Antol Z, Manczinger L. Effect of heavy metals on growth and extracellular enzyme activities of mycoparasitic *Trichoderma* strains. *Biulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology* 2000; 66(2): 249-252.
  39. Larkin RP, Farvel DR. Efficacy of various fungal and bacterial bio-control organisms for control of *Fusarium* wilt of some vegetables. *Plant Diseases* 1998; 82:1022-1028.
  40. Lifshitz R, Windham MT, Baker R. Mechanism of biological control of preemergence damping-off of pea by seed treatment with *Trichoderma* spp. *Phytopathology* 1986; 76:720-725.

41. Lumsden RD, Locke JC, Adkins ST, Walter JF, Ridout CJ. Isolation and localization of the antibiotic gliotoxin produced by *Gliocladium virens* from alginate prill in soil and soilless media. *Phytopathology* 1992; 82:230-235.
42. Mahmoud A, El-Tom M. Ecological relationships of some vegetation units in the Jeddah- Makkah region, Saudi Arabia. *Arab Gulf. Journal Scientific Research* 1985; 3(2):607-622.
43. Massart S, Jijakli HM. Use of molecular techniques to elucidate the mechanisms of action of fungal biocontrol agents: A review. *Journal of Microbiological Methods* 2007; 69(2):229-241.
44. McQuilken MP, Gemmell J, Lahdenpera ML. *Gliocladium catenulatum* as a potential biological control agent of damping off in bedding off in bedding plants. *Journal of Phytopathology* 2001; 49(3/4):171-178.
45. Mishra PK, Mukhopadhyay AN. Biocontrol of corm and root rot disease in gladiolus by corm treatment with *Gliocladium virens*. *International Conference, Indian Phytopathology Society, New Delhi, India, 1997*.
46. Monte E. Understanding *Trichoderma*: between biotechnology and microbial ecology. *International Journal of Microbiology* 2001; 4:1-4.
47. Montealegre JR *et al.* *An illustrated guide to the wild plants of Taif, King of Saudi Arabia, Umm Al Qura Univ. Saudi Arabia, 2000*.
48. Monte alegre JR *et al.* Selection of bioantagonistic bacteria to be used in biological control of *Rhizoctonia solani* in tomato. *Environmental Biotechnology* 2003; 6(2):1-8.
49. Mossallam HA, BaZaid SA. *An Illustrated Guide to the Wild Plants of Taif. Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. Publication Committee For Tourism Activation-Taif, Saudi Arabia, 2000*.
50. Patkowska E. The effect of phyllosphere microorganisms on the healthiness of aboveground parts of soybean (*Glycie max* (L.) Merrill). *Hortorum Cultus* 2003; 2(1):65-71.
51. Pitt JI. *A laboratory Guide to Common Penicillium Species*, 2nd edn. CSIRO Division o Food Processing, North Ryde, NSW, Australia, 1988.
52. Psurek A, Neusüß C, Degenkolb T, Brückner H, Balaguer E, Imhof D *et al.* Detection of new amino acid sequences of alamethicins F30 by nonaqueous capillary electrophoresis -mass spectrometry. *Journal Peptide Science* 12 (4):279-290.
53. Roco A, Perez LM. *In Vitro* biocontrol analysis of *Trichoderma harzianum* on *Alternaria alternata* in the presence of growth regulators. *Plant Biotechnology* 2005; 4 (2):68-73.
54. Sempere F, Santamarina M. *In Vitro* biocontrol analysis of *Alternaria alternata* (Fr.) Keissler under different environmental conditions. *Mycopathologia* 2007; 163(3):183-190.
55. Täckholm V. *Student's Flora of Egypt. Cairo University Press. Cairo, Egypt, 1974, 888*.
56. Tjamos EC, Papavizas GC, Cook RJ. (eds) *Biological control of plant diseases. Progress and challenges for the future. Plenum Press, New York, 1992*.
57. Vesey-Fitzgerald, D.F. The vegetation of the Red Sea coast north of Jeddah, Saudi Arabia. *Journal Ecology* 1957; 45:547-562.
58. Vey A, Hoagland RE, Butt TM. Toxic metabolites of fungal biocontrol agents. In: Butt TM, Jackson C, Magan N (eds) *Fungi as biocontrol agents: Progress, problems and potential. CAB International, Bristol*, pp 311-346. *Mal J Microbiol* 2008; 4(1):30-39.
59. Wu WS, Liu SD, Chang YC, Tschen JSM. Hyperparasitic relationships between antagonists and *Rhizoctonia solani*. *Plant Proction Bulletin* 1986; 28:91-100.
60. Yedidia I, Benhamosu N, Chet I. Induction of defense responses in cucumber plants (*Cucumis sativus* L.) by the biocontrol agent *Trichoderma harzianum*. *Applied Environmental Microbiology* 1999; 65:1061-1070.
61. Zhenying H, Yitzchak G, Daphne JO. Value of the mucilaginous pellicle to seeds of the sand-stabilizing desert woody shrub *Artemisia sphaerocephala*. *Springer Berlin Heidelberg* 2004; 18(6):669-676.