



International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Development



IJMIRD 2014; 1(7): 1-3
www.allsubjectjournal.com
Received: 13-09-2014
Accepted: 16-11-2014
e-ISSN: 2349-4182
p-ISSN: 2349-5979

Ramanuj Patel
Department of Biological
Sciences Rani Durgawati
University Jabalpur M.P
India

Deepika Patel
Department of biotechnology
& zoology government sciences
college Jabalpur, M.P., India

Rita Bhandari
Prof. and Head, Department of
Zoology and Biotechnology,
Govt. Model Science College,
Jabalpur, MP, India.

Uday Homkar
State forest research institute
(SFRI) Pollypathar Jabalpur.
M.P., India

A.K. Pandey
Chairman Private University
Regulatory Commission Board
M.P. Govt. Bhopal M.P., India

Correspondence:
Ramanuj Patel
Department of Biological
Sciences Rani Durgawati
University Jabalpur M.P.,
India

Effectiveness of insecticides and biopesticides against on rice crop in district Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh, India

**Ramanuj Patel, Deepika Patel, Rita Bhandari, Uday Homkar,
A.K. Pandey**

Abstract

An ecofriendly alternative to chemical pesticides is biopesticides, which encompasses a broad array of microbial pesticides, biochemicals derived from micro-organisms and other natural sources, and processes involving the genetic incorporation of DNA into agricultural commodities that confer protection against pest damage. The field studies were carried out for effectiveness of insecticides and biopesticides against gundhi bug on rice in Rewa region. Study of insect pest complex was done from 2011-012 to 2013- 014. The observations were made regarding the grain yield monocrotophos was the most efficient insecticide where as among biopesticides wines was the best.

Keywords: *Oryza sativa*, Biopesticides, Insecticides, Madhya Pradesh.

1. Introduction

Rice is the most important staple food crop with more than half of the world's population relying on rice as the major daily source of calories and protein ^[1]. Asia accounts for about 90% of world's rice area and production. Among the rice growing countries, India has largest area under rice in the world (about 44.6 mha) i.e. 28% of the worlds area of production, and ranks second next to China. The share of India to the world's production is near about 22.1 percent. In Madhya Pradesh, the area under rice cultivation is 5144.6 million hectares with production of 5748.3 million tonnes with a productivity of 1-2 t /ha. ^[2] Gundhi Bug (*Leptocorisa varicornis*) is a serious pest of rice and sometimes reduce yield by as much as 30%. The adults are slender and brown-green. They measure 19-16 mm long. The younger instars are pale in color. The nymphs have long antennae. The older instars measure 1.8 - 6.2 mm long. They are yellowish green. The eggs are oval, shiny, and reddish brown. They are laid in batches of 10-20 in one to three rows along the midrib on the upper surface of the leaf. ^[3] Agriculture has had to face the destructive activities of numerous pests like fungi, weeds and insects from time immemorial, leading to radical decrease in yields. With the advent of chemical pesticides, this crisis was resolved to a great extent. But the over dependence on chemical pesticides and eventual uninhibited use of them has necessitated for alternatives mainly for environmental concerns. Degraded soils and groundwater pollution has resulted in nutritionally imbalanced and unproductive lands. Volatile pesticide residues also sometimes raise food safety concerns among domestic consumers and pose trade impediments for export crops. Therefore, an ecofriendly alternative is the need of the hour. Biopesticides or biological pesticides based on pathogenic microorganisms specific to a target pest offer an ecologically sound and effective solution to pest problems. They pose less threat to the environment and to human health. ^[4] The present piece of work is attempt to compare the efficacy of chemical and biopesticides against Gundhi bug on rice field in Rewa, Jabalpur.

2. Materials and Methods

The present study was done in green house farm of Department Of Biological Sciences Rani Durgawati University Jabalpur Madhya Pradesh of District Jabalpur. The study was conducted in the seasons of 2011-012 and 2013-014. During this time period average temperature was 30.4 0C (maximum) and 15.61 0C (minimum). During 2013 the monsoon was received on 16th June whereas in 2014 it was on 12th June. Rainfall was adequate in 2013 (669.5mm) in 41 rainy days but the year 2014 it was comparatively high ranging up to 672.6mm.

Evaluation of insecticides against insect pests of rice:

The field experiments were conducted to evaluate the insecticides against insect pests of rice in the years 2011 and 2014.

3. Treatments: dose /g. or ml. of formulatioosn/ha

T1 Chlorpyriphos 10.0kg

T2 Chlorpyriphos 12.5kg

T3 Carbofuran 33.0kg

T4 Deltamethrin 150.0ml.

T5 Monocrotophos 1390.0 ml.

T6 Untreated control check

Design RBD (Randomized Block Design)

Replication 3

Plot size 5x2

Spray02

Fertilizer NPK 60:40:30 Kg /ha

Variety Pusabasmati

Ist Spraying of the insecticides was carried out when insect pest incidence was and II nd spray observes was done 10days of Ist Spray.Evaluation of biopesticides against insect pests of rice:

4. Treatment:Dose

T1 Achook 5 ml.

T2 Neem Azal 3 ml.

T3 Neem Gold 3ml.

T4 Spictaf 4.3ml.

T5 Tricure 5 ml.

T6 Wanis` 5 ml.

T7 Biofer 1.5ml.

T8 Biotos 2.5 ml.

T9 Control Untreated

Design RBD (Randomized Block Design)

Replication 3

Plot size 5x2

Spray02

Fertilizer NPK 60:40:30 Kg /ha

Variety Pusabasmati

An alysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to compare the data during experiments.III.

5. Results and Discussion

Gundhi bug (*Leptocorisa oratorius*), the major insect pest in upland rice environments causes' extensive damage every year. Population of insect is governed by a number of abiotic and biotic factors^[5]. Varieties favourable for the development of the insect are also one of the factors.^[6]

6. Evaluation of Insecticides against gundhi bug on Rice

For the evaluation of insecticides against the insect pests 4 insecticides were used namely Chlorpyriphos, Carbofuran, Deltamethrinand, Monocrotophos. Four insecticides at different concentrations were evaluated for controlling foliar pests of rice on most susceptible variety Pusabasmati during the year 2007 and 2008 under irrigated ecosystem. It is evident from the data (Table No. 1) that Monocrotophos was found significantly superior in controlling the gundhi bug population (% grain damage) over untreated check (47.3) and (39.5) during 2007 and 2008 respectively. Minimum grain damage (11.1%) was recorded in Monocrotophos followed by

Corbofuran (11.8%) over untreated check (43.4%). The next effective treatment was Deltamethrin (25.25%) and Chlorpyriphos 1250g. a i/ha (27.6%), which were at par and found to be moderately effective in controlling the gundhi bug population and combating the grain damage. According to Mishra, 2003 [7] six insecticides viz., fenobucarb 50 EC @ 500ml a.i./ha, imidacloprid 200 SL @ 50ml a.i./ha, malathion 50EC@ 500ml a.i./ha, carbaryl 50 WP @ 1kg a.i./ha, avermectin 1.8 EC @ 18 ml a.i./ha and DDVP 76 EC @ 266ml a.i./ha were field evaluated against mixed population of rice gundhi bug, *Leptocorisa* sp. during *Kharif*, 2002 at Bhubaneswar, Orissa. The results revealed that all the insecticides proved significantly effective in controlling the insect as compared to control.

7. Evaluation of biopesticides against rice gundhi bug on rice

For the biopesticides against the insect pests 8 biopesticides were used namely Achook, Neem Azal , Neem gold, Spictaf , Tricure , Wanis, Biofer and Biotos. Eight biopesticides were evaluated against rice gundhi bug in two consecutive years 2013 and 2014 in high susceptible variety Pusabasmati. It was observed that gundhi bug incidence was 32.8 % and 34.7% in 2007 and 2014 respectively in untreated check. Among the tested biopesticides Achook was followed by Tricure and Neem gold. They were found to be significantly superior to other products. It is obvious from the data (Table No.2) that minimum gundhi bug population (% incidence) was recorded (8.85) in Achook which was closely followed by Tricure (12.1) and Neem gold (13.1). The effect of biopesticides was seen also in regard of grain yield and Wanis was found most effective showing 30.86% grain yield and lowest yield was recorded by applying Neem azal i.e. 28.51%., Spictaf, Tricure, Biofer, Biotos, Achook and Neem gold were found in between in an ascending order at grain yield i.e. 29.88, 30.04, 30.23, 30.41, 30.59 and 30.73% respectively. Increase in grain yield was recorded highest by application of Wanis i.e. 18.92%. While it was recorded to be lowest when Neem azal was applied i.e. only 9.86%. Increase in grain yield was recorded to be 15.1% by the application of Spictaf, 15.76% by Tricure, 16.49% by Biofer, 17.18% by Biotos, 17.8% by Achook and 18.48% by Neem gold. According to Murthy 2013[8], different scientists on eco-friendly practices demonstrated that for managing pest problems pesticides of plant origin like soybean oil, Oxymetrin and matrine obtained from *Sophoria* sp., plant extract (Biotos) obtained from *Gaultheria* spp., essential oils obtained from *Vitex negundo*, Pyrethrins present in the seed cases of *Chrysanthemum* plant, the extract of perennial shrub *Dodonaea angustifolia*, "Saponin" from *Sapindus trifoliatus*, Pongam seed oil obtained from *Pongamia pinnata* and *P. glabra* are useful. Rice gundhi bug had significant negative correlations with minimum temperature, evening relative humidity and rainfall; and positive correlations with sunshine hours and maximum temperature.

Table 1: Evaluation of insecticides against gundhi bug Population (2011-2014)

Insecticides					Gundhi bug						
Common name	Trade name	% a.i. formulation	Rate		% grain damage			Grain Yield q/ha			q/ha)% increase in grain yield
			g. a.i./ha	g.or ml of Formulation /ha	2013	2014	Mean	2013	2014	Mean	
Chlorpyriphos (a)	Dursbam 10G	10%	1000	10.0kg	37.6	35.5	36.55	11.48	13.55	12.51	22.52
Chlorpyriphos (b)	Dursban 10G	10%	1250	12.5kg	28.7	26.5	27.6	13.49	15.65	14.57	42.70
Carbofuran(Check)	Furadan 3G	3%	1000	33.0kg	12.7	10.39	11.8	19.05	19.20	19.12	87.26
Deltamethrin	Decis 10%EC	10%	15	150ml	28.0	22.5	25.25	12.99	13.95	13.47	31.92
Monocrotophos (Check)	Monocrown 36 WSC	36%	500	1390ml	12.0	10.2	11.1	18.92	20.93	19.92	95.10
Untreated Control	-	-	-	-	47.3	39.5	43.4	8.44	11.98	10.21	-
Sem ±	-	-	-	-	0.813	0.126	-	0.166	16.60	-	-
CD (0.05)	-	-	-	-	2.563	0.397	-	0.524	52.33	-	-

Table 2: Evaluation of biopesticides against rice gundhi bug (2011-2014)

Neem products/ pesticides	Dose/l of water	Gundhi bug incidence (%)			Gain yield (q/ha)			Increase in grain yield (%)
		2013	2014	Mean	2013	2014	Mean	
Achook	5ml	8.5	9.2	8.85	26.80	34.38	30.59	17.8
Neem Azal	3ml	14.1	16.1	15.1	25.90	31.13	28.51	9.86
Neem gold	3ml	12.7	13.5	13.1	27.50	33.96	30.73	18.40
Spictaf	4.5ml	16.8	14.3	15.55	25.30	34.46	29.88	15.1
Tricure	5ml	11.7	12.5	12.1	24.80	35.29	30.04	15.76
Wanis	5ml	12.6	14.7	13.65	25.90	35.83	30.86	18.92
Biofer	1.5ml	14.2	13.6	13.9	27.1	33.37	30.23	16.49
Biotos	2.5ml	14.8	15.8	15.3	27.7	33.12	30.41	17.18
Untreated check	-	32.8	34.7	33.75	24.3	27.6	25.95	-
Sem ±	-	0.942	0.767	-	1.070	0.887	-	-
CD (0.05)	-	2.824	2.302	-	3.208	2.661	-	-

8. Conclusion

Agriculture being the backbone for Indian economy, accounts for about 30% of GDP and two third of the population is dependent on it. After taking various observations we can be to concluded that regarding the grain yield monocrotophos was the most efficient insecticide where as among biopesticides wanis was the best. Biopesticides provide environment friendly alternatives to chemical insecticides but they face a number of constraints in their development, manufacture and utilization.

9. References

1. Khanjani M. Crop pests of Iran. Buali Sina University Press, 2006, 717.
2. Anonymous. Statistics of Agriculture, Volume 1, Agricultural and Horticultural Crops, Crop year 2009-2010, Ministry of Agriculture, Department of Economic Planning, Bureau of Statistics and Information Technology, 2011.
3. John S. Pest management need for integrated approach. Pesticides 1981; 15(9):3-5.
4. Gupta S, Dikshit AK. Biopesticides: An ecofriendly approach for pest control. Journal of Biopesticides 2010; 3(1):186-188.

5. Emmel TC. Population Biology. Harper and Row, New York, 1976.
6. Kennedy JS. Mechanism of host plant selection. Ann Appl Biol 1965; 56:317-322.
7. Mishra HP. Evaluatin of New isecticides against rice gundhi bug. Indian Journal of Plant Protection 2003; 31(2):107-108.
8. Murthy KSRK. Modern trends – Demonstrated Eco-friendly practices/tools for crop protection. Indian Journal of Plant Protection 2007; 35(1):22-24.